

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No. 669/2026

Chandan Kumar, aged about 35 years S/o Late Ramsagar Patel
Resident of village – Town Kahar Toli (behind Sadar Hospital), P.S. - Hajipur Sadar,
District – Vaishali. :-
Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

:-

O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Awadhesh Kumar, Advocate

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 09th. June 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., who is in judicial custody since 15.12.2025 in connection with Hajipur Town P.S. Case No. 1383/2025 for the offences u/ss. 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) & 3(5) of B.N.S..

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that earlier bail petition of the petitioner was rejected by this court vide B.P. No. 1789/2025 with an observation that the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge, but the petitioner moved before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna vide Cr. Misc. No. 11733/2026 and the same was rejected.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of informant Rupa Devi is that **Chandan Kumar**, Puja Devi, Ravi Kumar and one other came at her house and stated that work for preparing of Ration Card is going on and they brought her to office for approval of Ration Card, where they had taken her Aadhar Card and mobile number. Thereafter O.T.P. came on her mobile which was taken and her finger print was also taken on some document by the accused persons. They stated that her ration

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.669/2026
(Chandan Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
09.06.2026

card will be sent at her house. It is further alleged that after some days employees of Bharat Finance Company came at her house and started demanding E.M.I. of loan amount and also stated that Rs. 96858/- was granted in her name, on which she shocked. Thereafter, when she went to Bank and verified the document she got knowledge that **Chandan Kumar** has given his own mobile number in her Aadhar Card and taken away the loan amount and cheated her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner accused is innocent and has not committed any offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. The entire allegation is false and concocted. Petitioner never withdrawn money Rs.96,858/- in the name of informant. There is no direct or legal evidence to show that the petitioner himself applied for loan or obtained any loan. The entire accusation is based on suspicion. Petitioner has criminal antecedent of three other cases i.e. (i) Town P.S. Case No. 1136/2025 u/ss. 319(2), 318(4), 351(2), 3(5) B.N.S. (ii) Town P.S. Case No. 808/2025 u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 118, 109, 74, 303(2), 316(2), 318(4) 3(5) B.N.S. and (iii) C1 – 2262/2023 u/ss. 406, 323 I.P.C.. The accused petitioner is in judicial custody since 15.12.2025. The charge has already been framed in this case on 11.05.2026. On these basis, prayer has been made to release the petitioner accused on bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Heard the parties. Perused the record and the case diary. From perusal of the record and the case diary, it transpires that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and the allegation against him is that he alongwith other co-accused persons have obtained loan of Rs.96,858/- fraudulently from the bank in the name of the informant by misusing informant's Aadhar Card, mobile number and other documents and thereby cheated her. The informant in her re-statement in Para 5 and other witnesses namely

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.669/2026
(Chandan Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

09.06.2026

Veena Devi and Suraj Kumar and in their statements in Para 6 & 7 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. The petitioner has criminal antecedent of three other cases, in which one of similar offence as mentioned in Para 3 of this bail petition. Para 33 of the case diary shows that during investigation it appeared that total loan amount was Rs.1,29,160/-. The mobile number attached in the bank account is 8210531989 which is registered in the name of the petitioner Chandan Kumar. It further appears that the bail petition of the petitioner was rejected by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna vide order dated 29.04.2026 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 11733/2026.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail. Accordingly regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner is rejected.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	09.06.2026
Date of Uploading	11.06.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.