

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
A.B.P. No. 1430/2026

1. Rinki Kumari @ Rinki Devi, aged about 26 years, W/o Sujit Kumar,
 2. Rinku Kumari @ Rinku Devi W/o Indrajeet Kumar @ Indrajeet Rai
- All are residents of village – Rajapakar, P.S. - Rajapakar, Distt. - Vaishali.

	-	Petitioners
Verses		
State of Bihar	-	O.P.

Appearance : -

Learned counsel for the petitioners : - Sri Awadhesh Kumar, advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State : - Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Learned counsel for informant : - Sri Avinash Kumar, Advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 22th. May 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the informant on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioners under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Rajapakar P.S. Case No 235/2026 registered u/ss. 126, 115(2), 118, 109, 352, 351(3) & 3(5) of B.N.S.

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of the informant Meena Kumari is that on 09.05.2026 at about 7.30 A.M. accused Sujit Kumar, Indrajeet Rai, Rinku Devi and Rinki Devi were forcibly constructing wall just adjacent to her house. When she protested, they started abusing and assaulting her. When her husband Arvind Kumar came, they also inflicted iron rod and dagger on his head. Thereafter her husband got treatment in Govt. Hospital, Rajapakar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. They have falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioners and informant are

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

22.05.2026

Pattidars and there is land dispute between them. There is no specific allegation against the petitioners. No case u/s 109 B.N.S. is made out against the petitioners. Moreover, the case has been compromised between the parties. The accused petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Hence, prayer has been made for anticipatory bail.

Learned Public prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard the parties and perused the record. From perusal of record, it appears that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners to assault the informant and her husband. It further appears that both parties have compromised the case. The certified copy of compromise petition filed in the learned trial court duly signed by informant and petitioners has been annexed with this bail petition. The informant Meena Kumari and her husband Arvind Kumar/injured appeared physically before this court with their learned counsel and have supported the factum of compromise. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in Para 3 of the bail petition.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of the allegations, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioners is hereby allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.22.05.2026

Date of Order	22.05.2026
Date of Uploading	23.05.2026
Uploaded by	N.K