

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No. 665/2026

1. Sujit Kumar, aged about 28 years S/o Tilkeshwar Rai
 2. Indrajeet Rai, aged about 41 years S/o Tilkeshwar Rai
- Residents of village – Rajapakar, P.S. - Rajapakar, District – Vaishali.
- :-
- Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

:-

O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioners :- Sri Awadhesh Kumar, Advocate

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Learned counsel for informant : - Sri Avinash Kumar, Advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 22th. May 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioners has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., who are in judicial custody since 10.05.2026 in connection with Rajapakar P.S. Case No. 235/2026 for the offences u/ss. 126, 115(2), 118, 109, 352, 351(3) & 3(5) of B.N.S..

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that no petition for bail either regular or anticipatory has been moved on behalf of the petitioners before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of the informant Meena Kumari is that on 09.05.2026 at about 7.30 A.M. accused Sujit Kumar, Indrajeet Rai, Rinku Devi and Rinki Devi were forcibly constructing wall just adjacent to her house. When she protested, they started abusing and assaulting her. When her husband Arvind Kumar came, they also inflicted iron rod and dagger on his head. Thereafter her husband got treatment in Govt. Hospital, Rajapakar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.665/2026
(Sujit Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
22.05.2026

They have falsely been implicated in this case. Petitioners and informant are Pattidars and there is land dispute between them. There is no specific allegation against the petitioners. No case u/s 109 B.N.S. is made out against the petitioners. Moreover, the case has been compromised between the parties. The accused petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Petitioners are in judicial custody since 10.05.2026. Hence, prayer has been made for bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the parties and perused the record. From perusal of record, it appears that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners to assault the informant and her husband. It further appears that both parties have compromised the case. The certified copy of compromise petition filed in the learned trial court duly signed by informant and petitioners has been annexed with this bail petition. The informant Meena Kumari and her husband Arvind Kumar/injured appeared physically before this court with their learned counsel and have supported the factum of compromise. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in Para 3 of the bail petition and they are in judicial custody since 10.05.2026.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations and the period of custody, the regular bail petition of the petitioners is allowed. Accordingly, the above named accused petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

3

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.665/2026
(Sujit Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
22.05.2026

Date of Order	22.05.2026
Date of Uploading	23.05.2026
Uploaded by	N.K