

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
Present :- Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge.

B.P. No. 653/2026

Vikram Kumar, aged about 22 years S/o Munna Singh
Resident of village –Tangaul, P.S. - Hajipur Town, District – Vaishali.
:- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar :- O.P.

B.P. No. 660/2026

Manoj Kumar, aged about 22 years S/o Pappu Singh,
Resident of village – Tangaul, P.S. - Hajipur Town, District – Vaishali.
:- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar :- O.P.

B.P. No. 662/2026

Raunit Kumar @ Raunit Rai @ Bulla, aged about 32 years S/o Anil Kumar Rai @
Munna Rai.
Resident of village – S.D.O. Road, Hajipur Mahua Chowk, P.S. - Hajipur
Town, District – Vaishali.
:- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar :- O.P.

Appearance :

Learned counsels for the accused petitioners : Smt. Pratima Kumari, Sri Nitish
Kumar and Sri Rajeev Ranjan,

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State : Mr. Shyam Babu Rai,

Date of order – 26th. May 2026

The above three regular bail petitions are arising out of the same case i.e. Hajipur Town P.S. Case No. 351/2026 registered u/ss. 25(1-b)a, 26 & 35 of Arms Act. Petitioners are in judicial custody since 16.04.2026. Therefore, above three regular bail petitions have been taken up together for hearing and are being disposed of through this common order.

Heard learned counsels for the accused petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor for the State and also perused the record.

B.P. No.653/2026, B.P. No. 660/2026 & B.P. No. 662/2026
(Vikram Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

26.05.2026

It is mentioned in Para 2 of all three bail petition that earlier no application for bail on behalf of the petitioners either for anticipatory or regular has been moved before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief as per self statement of informant Sikandar Kumar, S.H.O. of Town P.S. Hajipur, Vaishali is that on 16.04.2026 at about 00.10 o'clock during night patrolling he got information by S.H.O. Bidupur that during patrolling one suspected person who disclosed his name as Raunit Rai @ Bulla has stated that some days before he has been released from the Bokaro jail and has planned to commit loot of gold in Odisha. The weapons to use in the loot were kept with **Vikram Kumar**, Prakash Kumar, **Manoj Kumar** and Ravi Ranjan Kumar. On such information, the informant alongwith police team reached at the house of Vikram Kumar and took Vikram Kumar under custody. On being asked about weapons, no any satisfactory reply was given by him. A video of illegal arms was found in his mobile. He disclosed that arms is with Prakash Kumar. The mobile of Vikram Kumar was seized. After taking to Vikram Kumar under police custody, the informant with the police force reached at the house of Prakash Kumar at 00.45 o'clock and took Prakash Kumar in to police custody. Prakash Kumar has stated that he has kept the arms in the kitchen of first floor. On search of kitchen of Prakash Kumar five country made pistols were recovered. Accordingly, seizure list of recovered arms was prepared. Thereafter Vikram Kumar and Prakash Kumar disclosed that other arms is with **Manoj Kumar**. At about 1 A.M. in the night the informant alongwith police force reached at the house of Manoj Kumar and taken to Manoj Kumar under police custody. On search of the house of **Manoj Kumar** one country made pistol, one live cartridge and a mobile were recovered. Thereafter, the seizure list of recovered arms ad mobile was prepared. All the three apprehended accused on

B.P. No.653/2026, B.P. No. 660/2026 & B.P. No. 662/2026
(Vikram Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

26.05.2026

being interrogation disclosed that the recovered all arms were made available to them by Ravi Ranjan Kumar and **Raunit Rai @ Bulla**. The raid was also conducted at the house of Ravi Ranjan Kumar but Ravi Ranjan Kumar found absconding. Ravi Ranjan Kumar and **Raunit Rai @ Bulla** are active criminals and several criminal cases have been lodged against them

Learned advocates for the petitioners submitted that the accused petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners. Petitioner of B.P. No. 653/2026 namely Vikram Kumar has criminal antecedent of one other criminal case. Petitioner of B.P. No. 660/2026 Manoj Kumar has no criminal antecedent. Petitioner of B.P. no. 662/2026 namely Raunit Kumar @ Raunit Ray @ Bulla has criminal antecedent of 10 other criminal cases. Petitioners are in judicial custody in this case since 16.04.2026. Hence, prayer has been made for bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

Heard both the parties and perused trial court record and case diary written up to Para 67 dated 20.05.2026. From perusal of the trial court record and the case diary, it appears that petitioners were arrested by the police and on the disclosure made by them arms and ammunitions were recovered, which were kept by them to use in the crime of loot. The informant in his re-statement in Para 5 and witness namely Arvind Mukhiya S.I. of Police in Para 6 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. The seizure list witnesses namely Shashikant Tiwary (Hawaldar) and Omvir Manjhi (Constable) in Para 37 &

B.P. No.653/2026, B.P. No. 660/2026 & B.P. No. 662/2026
(Vikram Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

26.05.2026

38 of the case diary have also supported the prosecution case. It further appears that accused petitioner of B.P. No. 662/2026 namely Raunit Rai @ Bulla has confessed his guilt in his confessional statement as mentioned in Para 18 of the case diary and has taken the name of other accused persons including the petitioners of B.P. No. 653/2026 and B.P. No. 660/2026 namely Vikram Kumar and Manoj Kumar as his associates. The investigation against the petitioners is still going on.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of the allegations, it would not be justified to release the petitioners on bail. Accordingly the prayer for regular bail of the above named accused petitioners of all three bail petitions is rejected.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of Order	26.05.2026
Date of Uploading	30.05.2026
Uploaded by	N.K