

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No. 654/2026

Golu Kumar @ Sudhir Kumar, aged about 23 years S/o Maran Rai @ Amit Rai
Resident of village – Chaksaidpur Rajauli @ Senduari, P.S. - Hajipur Sadar, District –
Vaishali. :- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar :- O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Rajnish Kumar, Advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 15th. June 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., who is in judicial custody since 02.05.2026 in connection with Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 268/2026 for the offences u/ss.126(2), 115(2), 329(3), 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3)) & 3(5) of B.N.S. and section 27 of Arms Act.

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that earlier no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this court of sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of the informant Sunita Devi is that on 26.04.2026 at about 2 o'clock her neighbours namely Vishal Kumar, Mithileh Kumar, Santosh Mahto and Golu Kumar alongwith five other unknown persons came at her door on four motorcycles and started abusing and told to withdraw the case of Dasai Mahto, Dhiraj Kumar, Visheshwar Mahto and Tara Devi, otherwise they will kill them. At that time, Golu put pistol on her and fired but the same did not hit to her and crossed by the side of her. On hearing sound of firing, when the nearby people came, all the miscreants fled away. The police was informed, who came and seized the empty cartridge from the road.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No.654/2026

(Golu Kumar @ Sudhir Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

15.06.2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. The entire allegation is false and concocted. No occurrence as alleged took place. No case u/s 109 B.N.S. is made out. Petitioner has criminal antecedent of three other criminal cases. The accused petitioner is in judicial custody since 02.05.2026. On these basis, prayer has been made to release the petitioner accused on bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Heard the parties. Perused the trial court record and the case diary. From perusal of the trial court record and the case diary as well as materials available on record, it transpires that petitioner alongwith other accused persons came at the door of the informant on motorcycles and started abusing. There is specific allegation against the petitioner of firing upon the informant from pistol but the same did not hit to her. The informant in her re-statement in Para 6 of the case diary has stated that due to previous dispute case was lodged from both sides. The witnesses Sadariya Devi and Khushi Kumari in Para 8 & 9 of the case diary have stated that due to previous dispute firing was made by Golu Kumar on the door of informant Sunita Devi. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 02.05.2026. Para 47 of the case diary shows that the petitioner Golu Kumar has criminal antecedents of three other criminal cases. Para 25 of the case diary shows that the preventive action has been taken against both the parties u/s 126 of B.N.S.S..

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations and the period of custody, the regular bail petition of the petitioner is allowed. Accordingly, the above named accused petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court subject to the **conditions that :-**

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No.654/2026

(Golu Kumar @ Sudhir Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

15.06.2026

(i) The petitioner will not indulge in any kind of such offence and shall appear and report to S.H.O. of concern police station on first week of the every month for a period of **one year** regarding his activity after being released from custody.

(ii) The petitioner will not delay the disposal of trial in any manner what so ever and will remain physically present for framing of charge and at the stage of recording of statement under section 351 of B.N.S.S.

(iii) The petitioner would not repeat similar type of offence in future.

In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, learned Court concern will be at liberty to cancel the bail and send the petitioner to prison.

Before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner, the learned Trial Court is requested to ensure that a copy of this order be sent to the S.H.O. of concern P.S. with direction that, the concern S.H.O. will inform the learned Court if the petitioners fail to appear before him in any month as specified in aforesaid condition.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	15.06.2026
Date of Uploading	17.06.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No.654/2026

(Golu Kumar @ Sudhir Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

15.06.2026

