

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No. 651/2026

Munilal Rai, aged about 42 years S/o Brahamdev Rai
Resident of village – Mirampur, P.S. - Raghapur, District – Vaishali.
:- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar :- O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner : Sri Amaresh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 21st. May 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., who is in judicial custody since 02.05.2026 in connection with Raghapur P.S. Case No. 343/2025 for the offences u/ss. 103(1), 123 & 3(5) of B.N.S..

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that earlier no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this court of sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of Reena Devi is that on 15.12.2025 her husband Sanjay Rai proceeded from his village house Mirampur for Patna with Rs. 6 lakhs informing that 3 lakhs rupees was due to Chandan Rai, which he wants to repay as the said amount had been arranged through Munni Lal Rai, who was pressing for repayment. On 17.12.2025 at about 8.25 P.M. her husband called her over the phone and inquired about the children and upon being asked about his whereabouts, he informed that he was at the house of Munni Lal Rai alongwith Chandan Rai @ Chandrama Rai. He further stated that he had returned Rs. 3 lakhs to Chandan Rai through Munni Lal Rai and had kept the remaining Rs. 3 lakhs with Munni Lal Rai for safe keeping, as he intended to undertake construction work from the next day and would

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.651/2026
(Munilal Rai Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

21.05.2026

collect the money in the morning. On 17.12.2025 at about 9.25 P.M. her husband was brought in unconscious condition to Metro Hospital, Patna by Chandan Rai, Munni Lal Rai and her cousin brother-in-law Vikash Kumar. On receiving information, the informant alongwith her father-in-law and other family members reached to Hospital and found that her husband was frothing from the mouth, blood was oozing out from the nose and his face had turned bluish. On seeing this she became distressed. The Metro Hospital refused to treat him. Thereafter the family members brought him to P.M.C.H. where the doctors declared him dead. Upon inquiry from her cousin brother-in-law Vikash Kumar, he informed that while passing near the house of Munni Lal Rai, he saw the deceased lying unconscious on a cot in a room constructed near a water tank at the house of Munni Lal Rai, froth was coming out from his mouth, blood was oozing out from his nose and he was not responding. Chandan Rai @ Chandrama Rai was also present there. Thereafter Munni Lal Rai, who is cousin Sarhu of the deceased, informed the relatives at the informant's parental home, whereupon they also arrived. It is further alleged that Munni Lal Rai and Chandan Rai @ Chandrama Rai fled away after leaving the deceased at Metro Hospital. The informant has full confidence that Munni Lal Rai, Chandan Rai @ Chandrama Rai and Indu Devi under a conspiracy and with an intention to misappropriate Rs. 3 lakhs, administered poison to her husband Sanjay Kumar @ Sanjay Rai with the intention to kill him, resulting in his death.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. The entire allegations are false and concocted. Petitioner was not involved directly or indirectly in the alleged occurrence. The informant and petitioner are co-villagers. No any money transaction was made between the petitioner and the deceased. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in Para 3 of this bail

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.651/2026
(Munilal Rai Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
21.05.2026

petition. Petitioner is in judicial custody in this case since 02.05.2026. Hence, prayer has been made for bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard both the parties and perused the trial record and the case diary. From perusal of the trial court record and the case diary, it transpires that petitioner is named accused in the F.I.R. and there is allegation against the petitioner and other accused persons to commit murder of the informant's husband by administering poison. The informant in her re-statement in Para 5 and witness Vikash Kumar in his statement in Para 7 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. The postmortem report of the deceased mentioned in Para 18 of the case diary shows that opinion regarding cause of death was kept pending till receiving of viscera report from F.S.L.. The F.S.L. report mentioned in Para 74 of the case diary shows that "**ALUMINIUM PHOSPHIDE** was detected in the visceral organs. Aluminium Phosphide commercially known as '**CELPHOS**', is a severe gastro-intestinal irritant. It is used as a grain preservative and is highly **poisonous.**" Hence, the prosecution case of administering poison to informant's husband (deceased) is supported by the F.S.L. Report. It appears to be a case of heinous nature. The investigation is still going on.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, circumstances of the case as well as gravity of the offences, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail. Accordingly regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner is rejected.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.651/2026
(Munilal Rai Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
21.05.2026

Date of Order	21.05.2026
Date of Uploading	23.05.2026
Uploaded by	N.K