

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

A.B.P. No. 1338/2026

1. Sanjeet Kumar Rai @ Sanjeet Kumar, aged about 30 years, S/o Bilkhan Rai,
2. Ram Ishwar Rai,, aged about 50 years, S/o Late Chalittar Rai
All residents of village – Hasanpur Gangati, P.S. - Goraul (Kathara),
District – Vaishali..

- Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

- O.P.

A.B.P. No. 1877/2026

1. Raghuvir Kumar Rai, aged about 25 years S/o Bhikhari Ray
Resident of village – Hasanpur Gangati, P.S. - Goraul (Kathara), District
– Vaishali..

- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

- O.P.

Appearance :-

The learned counsel for the petitioners :- Sri Tanveer Alam, advocate.
:- Sri Gautam Kumar, advocate,

The learned Public Prosecutor for State :- Sri Shyam Babu Rai.
The learned counsel for informant :- Sri Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order : 25th. June 2026

The above two anticipatory bail petition of the above named accused petitioners have been filed separately, who are apprehending their arrest in the same case i.e Goraul P.S. Case No. 725/2025 registered u/ss. u/ss. 191(2), 115(2), 126(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2) & 352 of B.N.S., therefore both the above anticipatory bail petitions have been taken up together for hearing and are being disposed of through this common order.

Heard the learned counsels for the petitioners and learned

(Sanjeet Kumar Rai @ Sanjeet Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
25.06.2026

Public Prosecutor for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant on both anticipatory bail petitions and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of both the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief as per written report of the informant Ashok Kumar Sahni is that on 03.12.2025 at about 6 P.M. all the accused persons as named in the F.I.R. including the petitioners armed with weapons came at his door and started abusing. On protest by his family members, accused Manish Kumar told to kill, on which all the accused persons started assaulting to his family members. Accused **Sanjeet Kumar Rai** assaulted with farsa on the head of his brother Rakesh Kumar causing head injury. Accused Sunny Kumar assaulted his brother Vivek Kumar on his head with iron rod. Accused **Ram Ishwar Rai** assaulted his son Anand Kumar with axe. Accused Rahul Kumar assaulted his sister Soni Devi with rod. Amar Rai assaulted his mother Veena Devi with bamboo on her head. Accused Ajay Rai assaulted his Fufa Ramnandan Sahni with bamboo. Jawahar Rai assaulted his brother Subhash Kumar with lathi on his head. All his family members became badly injured. All the accused persons have taken away valuable articles worth Rs.10 lakhs and also cash of Rs.2 lakhs from his house.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. They have falsely been implicated in this case. No occurrence as alleged took place. This case is counter blast of Goraul P.S. Case No. 726/2025. Petitioner no.1 of A.B.P. No. 1338/2026 namely Sanjeet Kumar Rai has criminal antecedent of three other criminal

(Sanjeet Kumar Rai @ Sanjeet Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
25.06.2026

cases and petitioner no.2 has no criminal antecedent. Petitioner of A.B.P. No. 1877/2026 namely Raghuvir Kumar Rai has also no criminal antecedent. The co-accused persons have been granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna vide Cr. Misc. No. 30640/2026. Hence, a prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the state opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard the parties and also perused the record and case diary. From perusal of record and case diary, it appears that there is allegation of assaulting to the informant's family members, in which several persons have sustained injuries. The informant in his restatement in Para 3 and other witnesses namely Ritesh Kumar, Indu Devi, Sunaina Devi, Ratnesh Kumar in Para 7, 8, 9 & 10 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. In the alleged occurrence several persons namely Anand Kumar, Vivek Kumar, Rakesh Kumar Sahni, Soni Devi, Ramnandan Singh, Subhash Kumar and Beena Devi have sustained injuries.

The injury report of injured Rakesh Kumar Sahni caused by petitioner **Sanjeet Kumar Rai @ Sanjeet Kumar** as mentioned in Para 29 of the case diary shows that he has **sustained** :-

2 x 2 cm Lacerated wound present over the Lt. Orbit.

The supplementary injury report of injured Rakesh Kumar Sahni mentioned in Para 48 of the case diary shows that as per NCCT Brain and x-ray left knee, the injury is simple in nature.

The injury report of injured Veena Devi mentioned in Para 30

(Sanjeet Kumar Rai @ Sanjeet Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
25.06.2026

of the case diary and supplementary injury report as mentioned in Para 130 of the case diary shows that she has **sustained** : -

1 x 1 cm Lacerated wound present over forehead.

The said injury is simple in nature.

The injury report of injured Anand Kumar caused by petitioner **Ram Ishwar Rai** mentioned in Para 31 of the case diary and supplementary injury report as mentioned in Para 50 of the case diary shows that he has **sustained** : -

3 x 2 cm Lacerated wound present over the Rt. Parietal region, which is simple in nature.

The injury report of injured Vivek Kumar mentioned in Para 32 of the case diary and supplementary injury report as mentioned in Para 51 of the case diary shows that he has **sustained** : -

2 x 2 cm Lacerated wound present over Rt. Parietal region. Jaw Pain, which are simple in nature.

The injury report of injured Soni Devi mentioned in Para 33 of the case diary and supplementary injury report as mentioned in Para 52 of the case diary shows that she has **sustained** : -

3 x 2 cm Lacerated wound present over forehead, which is simple in nature.

From the case diary, it transpires that the original injury report of injured Ramanandan Sahni and Subhash Kumar is not available in the case diary but their supplementary injury report mentioned in Para 53 & 54 of the case diary shows that their injuries are simple in nature.

(Sanjeet Kumar Rai @ Sanjeet Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
25.06.2026

From the record, it appears that the other co-accused persons namely Manish Kumar, Sunny Kumar and Jawahar Rai have been granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna vide Cr. Misc. No. 30640/2026, considering the fact that the matter has been compromised but in the present matter, counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the informant argued at length and denied any factum of compromise.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioners namely Sanjeet Kumar Rai @ Sanjeet Kumar, Ram Ishwar Rai and Raghuvir Kumar Rai, is hereby, rejected.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	25.06.2026
Date of Uploading	30.06.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.