

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No. 587/2026

1. Vikash Mahto, aged about 20 years, S/o Karu Mahto,
 2. Sirdhi Mahto, aged about 24 years, S/o Vishwnath Mahto,
 3. Lagan Mahto, aged about 22 years, S/o Krishan Mahto,
- All Resident of village—Chandpura, P.S. -Raghopur, District – Vaishali.

: - Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

: - O.P.

Appearance : -

Learned counsel for the petitioner : - Sri. Santosh Kumar, Advocate

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 21st May 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioners have been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., petitioners are in judicial custody since 25.03.2026, in connection with Raghopur P.S. Case No. 120/2026 registered u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) & 3(5) of B.N.S.

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioners and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that no petition for bail either regular or anticipatory has been moved on behalf of the petitioner before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 24.03.2026 at about 07:10 P.M., the informant's entire family had gone to the Ganga Ghat to offer evening "Arghya" to the Sun God. The informant had kept his grocery, iron, and cement shop open in the village. Taking advantage of the fact that the shop was isolated and unguarded, the accused persons namely Vikash Mahto, Sirdhi Mahto, Lagan Mahto, and Sonu Mahto came to the shop and started abusing the informant. When the informant questioned them about the abuse, Sirdhi Mahto

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.587/2026
(Vikash Mahto & Others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

21.05.2026

assaulted him on the head with the butt of a pistol, causing a severe head injury. As a result, the informant fell unconscious. Thereafter, the accused persons looted Rs. 50,000/- from the cash box along with other documents kept therein. Vikash Mahto removed gold ornaments, including a chain and earrings, from a box and fled away with them. Even while the informant was unconscious, Lagan Mahto continued to assault him with a lathi. The informant was later found in an injured and unconscious state

The learned counsel for the above-named accused petitioners submits that the accused petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case. It has been further submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners that the allegations made against the petitioners are false, fabricated, concocted, and baseless. In fact, the informant is "Zamindars" and the petitioners are labourers. One day prior to the alleged occurrence, the informant directed the petitioners to cut his wheat crop, which the petitioners refused. Aggrieved by their refusal, the informant called the petitioners to his house, tied their hands and legs, assaulted them, and thereafter lodged a false case against them to implicate them falsely. Besides this, the petitioners have no any criminal antecedent prior to this case and he is in custody since 25.03.2026.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the parties and perused the case record and the case diary. From perusal of the case diary and records, it appears that there are allegations against the petitioners of assaulting the informant and looting Rs. 50,000/- from the cash box along with other documents kept therein. It is further alleged that petitioner Vikash Mahto removed gold ornaments, including a chain and earrings, from a box and fled away with other accused persons. On perusal of the injury report of the injured, Sanjeet Ray, it also transpires that he sustained a stitched wound over the left parietal region of the scalp. As per the injury report, the injury sustained by the injured is opined to be simple in nature.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.587/2026
(Vikash Mahto & Others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

21.05.2026

Para 18 of the case diary shows that prohibitory proceeding u/ss 126 of B.N.S.S., has been recommended on both the sides.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, petitioners custody, the regular bail petition of the petitioners is allowed. Accordingly, the above named accused petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali