

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & A.S.J.-III, VAISHALI AT HAJIPUR

B.P. NO. 554 of 2026

CNR No. BRVA01-003953-2026

Arising out of Raghopur P.S. Case No. 121/2026

U/s – 303(2) of the B.N.S.

=====

Arvind Kumar, S/o: Late Gauri Rai

.....

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....

Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the petitioner

**: Ms. Sunita Kumari, Sri Umesh Prasad Singh,
Ld. Adv.**

For the State

: Sri Ramnath Mahto, Ld. A.P.P.

29.06.2026

Order

1. The regular bail petition of the petitioner-**Arvind Kumar**, who is in custody since 26.03.2026 in **Raghopur P.S. Case No. 121/2026, U/s – 303(2) of the B.N.S.**

2. The Ld. Advocate on behalf of the petitioner pressed the regular bail petition and submitted that, the petitioner has not earlier moved for bail either anticipatory or regular before this Ld. Court or before the Hon'ble High Court of judicature at Patna. That, the petitioner has no bad antecedent previously save and except this present case. That, the petitioner was remanded in this case on 25.03.2026 in above referred case. That, the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case in order to humiliate harass and demoralise the petitioner. That, the petitioner is not named accused in the F.I.R. nothing has been incriminating from the conscious possession of the petitioner. That, the petitioner

<u>29.06.2026</u>	has implicated in this case due to village politics and grudge. That, the petitioner's family command respect in society. That, there is no chance of absconding of the petitioner if he is granted bail by your honour's Court. That, the petitioner is man of means and he is ready to furnish sound and suereties to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court. 3. Per contra, Ld. A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed prayer for regular bail and submitted that after investigation charge-sheet has been submitted. 4. As per written application of Informant-Vijay Rai, the prosecution's case in short is that, informant have a tea shop at Medan Chowk. On 25.03.2026, when informant to open his shop in the morning, he saw that the lock of his shop was broken. When he entered the shop, he saw that the battery was missing. He run the fan to light the fire in the stove with the stolen battery. His battery was an old red coloured battery of EXIDE company. Informant believe that unknown thieves broke the lock of the shop and stole the battery. 5. Heard both sides, and perused the L.C.R., along with C.D., the accused-petitioner is in custody since 26.03.2026. In C.D. Para-33 criminal history of accused-petitioner is mentioned, in which it is stated that except this case, he has no any criminal antecedent. After investigation charge-sheet has been submitted and cognizance of the offence has been taken by Ld. A.C.J.M. 15th vide order dt. 18.04.2026.
--------------------------	--

29.06.2026

6. Hence, in the light of above said, I am inclined to grant privilege of regular bail to this accused petitioner, the same is hereby, **allowed**. It is ordered that accused-petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing of bail bond Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court below.

7. O/C will send the copy of this order along with L.C.R. to concerned Ld. Court below for kind information and needful.

8. The Steno is directed to upload the order on the C.I.S.

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-III,
Vaishali at Hajipur,
29.06.2026