

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No. 614/2026

1. Ravindra Kumar @ Ravindra Kumar Paswan, aged about 25 years, S/o Rambabu Paswan,
Resident of village-Harauli Fatehpur, P.S. -Hajipur Sadar (Kajipur), District – Vaishali.

: - Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

: - O.P.

Appearance : -

Learned counsel for the petitioner : - Sri Sujeet Kumar Singh, Advocate

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 27th May 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., petitioner is in judicial custody since 16.03.2026, in connection with Sajar (Kajipur) P.S. Case No. 865/2025 registered u/ss. 103(1) & 3(5) of B.N.S.

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that earlier an anticipatory bail petition vide A.B.P. No. 531 of 2025 which has been withdrawn by the petitioner and the petitioner did not move the court or any other higher court, either regular or anticipatory. It is stated in para 3 of the bail petition that petitioner has no any criminal antecedent.

The prosecution case, in brief, as per the fardbayan of the informant, is that on 16.11.2025, the informant's daughter, Nitu Kumari, aged about 19 years, had gone to shop at around 11:00 A.M. At about 12:00 hours, her dead body was found in a field situated at behind the house. It is alleged that the accused persons, Ravindar Kumar and Anant Kumar, had abducted the informant's daughter and killed her by pressing her neck. The informant has further stated that the accused persons also molested his daughter. When the informant and his family members went to the house of the accused, the accused persons, along with some unknown persons came to the house of informant, started threatening to kill the informant and his family members.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.614/2026
(Ravindra Kumar @ Ravindra Kumar Paswan Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
27.05.2026

The Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence, and has been falsely implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. It is further submitted by the Ld. Counsel on behalf of petitioner that the informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence. As per the allegation, the incident occurred at 11:00 A.M. in broad daylight, yet there is no eyewitness to the occurrence, even though the place of occurrence is a thickly populated area. The petitioner is married, having solemnized his marriage seven years ago, and is blessed with two sons. He earns his livelihood by working as a labourer in a local band party. On a close scrutiny of the F.I.R., it appears that the informant performed the last rites of his daughter and lodged the present F.I.R. at the instigation of the petitioner's enemies. Besides this, the petitioner has no criminal antecedent prior to this case and he is in custody since 16.03.2026. On these grounds, prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard both the parties and perused the materials available on the record, on perusal of the same, it appears that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of committing the murder of the deceased, Nitu Kumari, on 16.11.2025 and prior to the murder of the deceased, the accused/petitioner had abducted the victim and thereafter molested the informant's daughter. On perusal of para 6 of the case diary, which contains the re-statement of the informant, it also transpires that three to four days before the occurrence, the accused had molested the informant's daughter. When the informant went to the house of the accused to complain about the incident, accused/petitioner Ravindra threatened to kill the deceased. On the day of the occurrence, accused/petitioner Ranjit was seen passing by the informant's house three to four times and was sitting behind the house of Anant, which is adjacent to the rear portion of the informant's house. The manner of recovery of the dead body from the field corroborates the prior threat extended by the petitioner. The offence u/s 103(1) of B.N.S. is heinous in nature, punishable with death or life imprisonment. The other witnesses vide para nos. 8, 9, 10 and 11 has also supported the prosecution story. The statement of the informant

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.614/2026
(Ravindra Kumar @ Ravindra Kumar Paswan Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
27.05.2026

finds prima facie corroboration from the post-mortem report of deceased alleged to be caused by the accused person which is:-

Eye closed congested, mouth partly closed, tongue within mouth. Bruise below the left side of mandible of colour darkbrown. Brown red colour of bruise over mid of neck 1 cm above thyroid cartilage of length 7 cm and width 2 cm. Face congested, lips bluish. Multiple abrasion over front and side of neck.

Internal-Skull-Intact, brain parenchyma congested. Neck-Subcutaneous tissue-Extensive Hemorrhage beneath skin in interior of neck. Larynx and trachea-Mucosal congestion, petechial hemorrhage. Hyoid bone intact. Thorax lungs congested.

The cause of death is opined due to asphyxia as a result of manual throttling.

The investigation officer has submitted the charge-sheet against the petitioner and exonerated the other co-accused namely Anant Kumar.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, circumstances of the case as well as gravity of the offences, this court does not inclined to enlarge the accused/petitioner on bail. Accordingly regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	27.05.2026
Date of Uploading	01.06.2026
Uploaded by	A.K.