

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II
Vaishali at Hajipur,

BP No.- 537/2026

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions
Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

BP No.- 537/2026

In the matter of :-

Santosh Kumar, Aged-45 years, Son of Late Ramashankar Singh, R/o
Village- Nasratpur, PS-Jandaha, Dist-Vaishali **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... **Opposite Party**

Order

15.05.2026

The petitioner named above is in custody since 12.04.2026 in connection with Jandaha PS Case no. 193/2026, u/s 85, 80, 238, 3(5) of BNS and hence instant petition for the grant of regular bail has been filed on his behalf.

Heard the learned lawyer for the accused/petitioner as well as Learned APP on behalf of state.

The prosecution case in short is that the marriage of informant's sister was solemnized on 07.05.2025 with Rohit Kumarr as per hindu rites and customs. At the time of marriage informant's family gave Rs. 5,00,000/- in cash, jewellery worth Rs. 2,50,000/-, clothes and other articles. After marriage his sister live normally for a few days but later her husband Rohit Kumar, brother-in-law Rahul Kumar and mother-in-law Sarita Devi began harassing her for more dowry, motorcycle and a golden chain. Despite their requests

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

BP No.- 537/2026

and assurances, the harassment continued and committing cruelty. On the night of 09.04.2026, they killed his sister for dowry and without giving information perform last rites in hurry by burning her dead body. There is crucial role in the murder and disappearance of evidence of offence by Santosh Kumar. Accordingly, with the said allegation FIR has been instituted.

Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent has committed no offence and entire prosecution case is false and concocted. It is further submitted that petitioner has no concern with the family affairs of deceased because petitioner is neither family members nor he is gotia pattidar rather house of petitioner is at the distance of 200 yards from so called place of occurrence. It is further submitted that petitioner is falsely made an accused due to village politics. It is at last submitted that petitioner is ready to furnish bail bond to the satisfaction of the court. It is therefore prayed that petitioner be admitted to regular bail.

Ld. APP opposed the petition and submitted that there is specific allegation against accused/petitioner is of involved in burning the dead body of deceased and destruction of evidence of deceased Neelam Kumari. The allegation against him is serious in nature. Hence his regular bail application is fit to be rejected.

From perusal of LCR and case diary it transpires that there is specific allegation against accused/petitioner is of involved in burning the dead body

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

BP No.- 537/2026

of deceased and disappearance of evidence of deceased Neelam Kumari.

In the above facts, circumstances and also considering the fact that the accused/petitioner Santosh Kumar is named in the FIR and there is his participation in committing dowry death and disappearance of evidence by performing last rites by burning her dead body in hurry without giving information to member of her parental home. The informant in his re-statement in para 3 and other witnesses in para 4 and 5 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. The allegation against accused/petitioner is heinous in nature. Hence, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused/petitioner on regular bail hence his regular bail application is hereby rejected.

Dictated

Md. Gheyasuddin
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-II
Vaishali at Hajipur.
15.05.2026