

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No. 532/2026

Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya, aged about 22 years S/o Ram Babu Rai
Resident of village – Sahpur, P.S. - Ganga Bridge, District – Vaishali.
:- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar :- O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Nagina Prasad, Advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 23th. April 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., who is in judicial custody since 06.02.2026 in connection with Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 66/2025 registered u/ss 304(2) of B.N.S.,

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that earlier no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this court of sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The prosecution case in brief, as per written report of the informant Rajesh Kumar, Sub-Divisional Engineer (Electric), B.S.N.L. Hajipur is that on 12.05.2025 at about 2 P.M. he was going to Patna from his office and at about 2.20 P.M. when he crossed Ganga Bridge Police Station from his Scooty, then two miscreants borne on bike rashly came and snatched his mobile (Vivo 73 lite 6/128, Vibrant Green) bearing IMEI No. 860564072199650 from his pocket and fled away.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. The entire allegation is false and concocted. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.532/2026
(Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

23.04.2026

possession of the petitioner. Petitioner was not arrested on the spot. He is not named in the F.I.R.. The confessional statement of the petitioner was recorded in connection with Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 03/2026 and on the basis of said confessional statement, he has been falsely implicated in this case. The co-accused persons have been granted bail by this court vide B.P. No. 362/2026 & B.P. No. 429/2026. Petitioner is in judicial custody in this case since 06.02.2026. He has criminal antecedent of three other criminal cases. On these basis, prayer has been made to release the petitioner accused on bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Heard the parties and also perused the trial court record and the case diary. From perusal of the trial court record and case diary, it appears that it is a case of snatching mobile of the informant while the informant was going on a Scooty. Para 39 of the case diary shows that it has been disclosed by Spy that petitioner **Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya**, Chandan Kumar and Pintu Kumar used to snatch mobile and money from truck drivers of the trucks parked of N.H. and the passersby people. Para 55 of the case diary shows that the said looted mobile and other mobiles were recovered from the named accused of Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 03/2026 namely **Sanjeev Kumar @ Patania**. Para 57 of the case diary also shows that on the basis of the confessional statement of accused of Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 03/2026 namely **Sanjeev Kumar Pataniya** (petitioner), it appears that he alongwith co-accused Chandan Kumar and Pintu Kumar used to snatch the mobile and money from the truck drivers and the passersby people. Para 61 of the case diary shows that during interrogation, the apprehended accused persons in connection with Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 03/2026 namely **Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya** and Chandan Kumar disclosed that they alongwith Pintu Kumar used to snatch mobile from pedestrians

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.532/2026
(Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
23.04.2026

and truck drivers. The snatched mobile was also recovered. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 06.02.2026.

From the trial court record, it appears that the investigation has been completed and the charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner and other co-accused persons for the offences u/ss. 304(2) & 317(2) of B.N.S.. The co-accused persons have been granted bail by this court vide B.P. No. 362/2026 & B.P. No. 429/2026.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations and the period of custody, the regular bail petition of the petitioner is allowed. Accordingly, the above named accused petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court **subject to the further conditions that :**

- (i) The petitioner will not indulge in any kind of such offence and shall appear and report to S.H.O. of concern police station on first week of the every month for a period of one year regarding his activity after being released from custody.
- (ii) The petitioner will not delay the disposal of trial in any manner what so ever and will remain physically present for framing of charge and at the stage of recording of statement under section 351 of B.N.S.S.
- (iii) The petitioner would not repeat similar type of offence in future.

In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, learned Court concern will be at liberty to cancel the bail and send the petitioner to prison.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.532/2026
(Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

23.04.2026

Before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner, the learned Trial Court is requested to ensure that a copy of this order be sent to the S.H.O. of concern P.S. with direction that, the concern S.H.O. will inform the learned Court, if the petitioners fail to appear before him in any month as specified in aforesaid condition.

However, the bail bonds of the petitioner will be accepted after framing of charge.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	23.04.2026
Date of Uploading	27.04.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.

5

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No.532/2026

(Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

23.04.2026

