

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
A.B.P. No. 1188/2026

Md. Salman, aged about 25 years S/o Md. Hasim,
Resident of village –Nawada Chandni Chowk, P.S. - Vaishali Distt. - Vaishali.

Verses

- Petitioner

State of Bihar

- O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner : - Sri Md. Ainul Haque Advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State : - Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Learned counsel for the informant : - Sri Sunny Saurabh, Advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 17th. July 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor and the learned counsel for the informant on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who is apprehending her arrest in connection with Goraul P.S. Case No.506/2025 registered u/ss. 85 & 3(5) of B.N.S. and section 3/4 D.P. Act.

It is stated in Para 3 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of informant Shabana Khatoon is that she was married with the petitioner Md. Salman on 03.07.2023 and after marriage she went to her Sasural, where all the accused persons as named in the F.I.R. including the petitioner started demanding Rs.3 lakhs cash as dowry for business of clothes. Due to non-fulfillment of said demand she was assaulted and tortured by them. They also tried to kill her by pressing neck by pillow. Panchayat was also held but the accused persons remained adamant on their demand.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. In fact, the

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

17.07.2026

informant does not want to live in her Sasural, she always want to go her Naihar. She pressurized her husband/petitioner to live in his Sasural for which he did not became ready, then she filed this false case. Petitioner is husband of the informant and he is always ready to keep his wife/informant with honour and dignity. The petitioner has also filed a Restitution Case No. 460/2025 against his wife/informant before the Family Court, Hajipur. The accused petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Hence, a prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the state opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Today, the petitioner/husband Md. Salman and informant/wife Shabana Khatoon appeared physically with their respective lawyers and they showed their willingness to live together as husband and wife with full honour and dignity. A Joint petition/undertaking with mutual understanding, duly signed by petitioner and complainant has been filed stating therein that petitioner Md. Salman will take his wife/informant Shabana Khatoon from her parental home and will keep her properly as his wife and he will not commit Mar-pit or torture to her. The wife/informant Shabana Khatoon also promised that she will live with her husband in her in-law's house and will not create any unnecessary dispute and will not go to her parental house without informing.

Heard both the parties and perused the record. From perusal of the record, it transpires that the petitioner is husband of the informant and there is allegation against him of demanding dowry and torturing his wife/informant. Today, both husband and wife appeared physically before this court and they have decided to live together as husband and wife with full honour and dignity.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioner, is hereby, allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.17.07.2026

amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	17.07.2026
Date of Uploading	18.07.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.