

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-XI**Vaishali at Hajipur****A.B.P. No.- 1164/26****Dinesh Sharma v/s The State of Bihar****Appearance:**

For the petitioner : Mr. Rupesh Ranjan, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Anil Kumar Jha, A.P.P.
For the Informant : N/A

ORDER**12.06.2026**

This Anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner viz. **Dinesh Sharma** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case C₁ no.- 2820/2022, U/s- 420 of the I.P.C.

The prosecution case, in brief is, that Petitioner Dinesh Sharma offered to sell of his land 4 decimals to the complainant namely Anita Devi, bearing khata no. 214, khesra no. 1498, for consideration amount Rs. 3,48,000/- (Three Lakh Forty Eight Thousand). Anita Devi agreed to purchase said land for consideration amount Rs. 3,48,000/-. Accordingly, the sale deed was executed in favour of the complainant in which the complainant made house in half portion of the land and half portion left vacant for other purpose. It is further alleged that petitioner Dinesh Sharma only held 1.5 decimals of land in the said khata and khesra, but petitioner fraudulently sold 4 decimals land to the complainant so the accused cheated the complainant and committed fraud and took money of four decimal.

The Ld. counsel for accused petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence rather he has been falsely implicated in this case and the complaint case is false & concocted. It is submitted that the petitioner has come over the land in question by virtue of gift which the maternal Grand mother of the petitioner executed registered in favour of the petitioner. It is submitted that complainant has been coming peaceful possession over the four decimal land. It is submitted that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

Heard both sides and perused the record, which transpires that petitioner has been alleged to have committed fraud to the informant. From

Contd..page..2

Contd....
12.06.26

perusal of the case record it transpires that based on the complaint petition with allegation that petitioner knowingly cheated to complainant. Summoning order has been passed for offence punishable u/s 420 of the I.P.C. vide order dated 08.09.2023 against petitioner. In the course of inquiry, informant and inquiry witnesses have been supported the case of the prosecution. In the above case, the complainant stated on oath that the accused had proposed to sell a piece of land situated in the village and accordingly got the land registered in favour of the complainant. Subsequently, the complainant came to know that, out of the 4 decimals of land mentioned in the registered deed, the accused actually owned only 1.5 decimals of land. It was alleged that the accused, while executing the sale deed, dishonestly and fraudulently represented that he owned and was selling 4 decimals of land. By misrepresenting the actual extent of the land and getting the sale deed registered for 4 decimals, the accused allegedly cheated the complainant with dishonest intention. Though, there is no criminal antecedent against the petitioner but there is specific and direct allegation against the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioner has been levelled grave & serious allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition filed on of the petitioner –

1. Dinesh Sharma

is hereby Rejected.

Dictated

sd/-

D.A.S.J-XI

Vaishali at Hajipur

Memo No. Dated

Copy Forwarded to:-Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with for information and needful.

D.A.S.J-XI

Vaishali at Hajipur