

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
A.B.P. No. 1108/2026

Pintu Kumar, aged about 20 years S/o Vinod Rai,
All are residents of village – Chakhabib, P.S. - Vaishali, Distt. - Vaishali.
- Petitioner

Verses

State of Bihar - O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Manish Kumar Piyush, advocate.
Learned P.P. for the State :- Sri Shyam Babu Rai,
Learned counsel for injured :- Sri Laleshwar Kr. Singh, Advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 17th. April 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Vaishali P.S. Case No. 770/2025 registered u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) & 3(5) of B.N.S.

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The prosecution case in brief, as per written report of the informant Md. Kalam is that on 22.10.2025 when his brother Ali Raja was going to take feast in the neighbouring village, in the mean time near Machhu Chowk, all the accused persons as named in the F.I.R. including the petitioner alongwith 2-3 unknown persons assaulted his brother badly with iron rod and butt of pistol and caused injury. It is further alleged that Rs.20,000/- and mobile were snatched away by them from his brother. When the nearby people came to save, then they fled away. Thereafter his brother was brought to Vaishali P.H.C. for treatment.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. No occurrence as alleged took place. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. The case has been compromised

(A.B.P. No.1108/2026)
(Pintu Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

17.04.2026

between the parties. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The co-accused persons have been granted regular bail by this court. Hence, prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the parties and also perused the trial court record. From perusal of the trial court's record, it transpires that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the informant's brother.

From the record, it appears that both parties have compromised the case. The certified copy of compromise petition duly signed by both parties filed before the learned trial court has been annexed with this bail petition. The injured Md. Ali Raja appeared physically with his lawyer and he has supported the factum of compromise and also stated that he has sustained minor injury. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in Para 3 of the bail petition. The co-accused persons have been granted regular bail by this court vide B.P. No. 324/2026, B.P. No. 356/2026 and B.P. No. 357/2026.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of the allegations, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioner is hereby allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

(A.B.P. No.1108/2026)
(Pintu Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

17.04.2026

Date of Order	17.04.2026
Date of Uploading	18.04.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.