

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

ABP No.- 995/2026

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

ABP no.-995/2026

In the matter of :-

Gyan Ranjan @ Lakki Kumar, Aged- 29 years, Son of Ved Prakash, R/o-
Village- Narayani Nagar Anjanpir, PS-Town Hajipur, Dist- Vaishali

.....petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... **Opposite Party**

Order

13.05.2026

The petitioner above named apprehending his arrest in connection with Hajipur Sadar PS Case no. 38/2025, u/s 140(3) of BNS and hence instant petition for the grant of anticipatory bail has been filed on his behalf.

Heard the learned counsel for the accused/petitioner and the Learned APP for the state.

The prosecution case in short is that the informant of this case is Veena Devi, Wife of Manoj Tiwari. She has alleged in her complaint to SHO, Hajipur Sadar PS that her son Vishal Kumar, aged 24 years, left the house on 14.01.2025 at about 03:30 PM in the afternoon saying that he will come after visiting his friend's place. When he did not return till late night, she called him on his mobile number 7369099403, he said that he is at his friend's place, he will

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II**Vaishali at Hajipur,****ABP No.- 995/2026**

come after having food, these people will drop him. When he did not return the whole night, he called on his mobile number at 05:30 AM in the morning, his phone was switched off and is still switched off. After that , she searched a lot from her level but could not find any trace of him. She has full faith that her patidars she has land dispute with (i) Vinod Tiwari, father Ram Ahlad Tiwari, (ii) Vibha Devi, father Vinod Tiwari, (iii) Moni Kumari, father Vinod Tiwari, all resident of Chandralay PS, Hajipur Sadar, District Vaishali, (iv) Vinod Tiwari's son-in-law Vimal and on 28.12.2024 in the bathan of Niranjana Tiwari, (v) Mukesh Chaudhary (vi) Rahul Kumar @ Kallu, (vii) Gopal Pandey, (viii) Bobby Pandey, (ix) Manoj Tiwari, (x) Rishi Kumar all of Village-Chandralaya, have assaulted her son and there is chance that these people can cause some unpleasant incident and can also get it done by someone by paying money. Accordingly, with the said allegation FIR has been instituted.

Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence whatsoever as alleged and has falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that entire prosecution version as depicted in FIR is palpably false and concocted. It is further submitted that petitioner has criminal antecedent in (i) Hajipur Town PS Case no. 817/2018, u/s 397, 412 of IPC, (ii) Hajipur Town PS case no. 749/2018, u/s 341, 323, 324, 379, 504/34 of IPC and (iii) Hajipur Town PS Case no. 725/2018, u/s 394 of IPC. It is further submitted that petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has got no

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II**Vaishali at Hajipur,****ABP No.- 995/2026**

concern with the alleged commission of the offence. It is further submitted that no criminal offence is attracted against the petitioner. It is at last submitted that petitioner is ready to abide by conditions as imposed under section 482(2) of BNS and is ready to furnish sound and suitable sureties to the satisfaction of the court. It is therefore prayed that petitioner be allowed anticipatory bail.

The learned APP for state vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the allegation against accused/petitioner is of committing murder of son of informant by strangulating his neck with the help of gamcha which is heinous in nature. As such the accused/petitioner do not deserve to be enlarge on anticipatory bail, accordingly prayer has been made to reject the bail application of accused/petitioner.

The L.C.R and case diary shows that the name of accused/petitioner has come on the confessional statement of Anshu Kumar @ Sudama and Shubham Patel which comes in para 168 and 169 of case diary wherein they have conceded their complicity along with this accused/petitioner in the alleged crime. In para 212 of case diary there is progress report of case is mentioned wherein the police has found the case true against accused/petitioner along with other co-accused persons. The criminal antecedent of accused/petitioner is mentioned in para 247 of case diary. He is shown to be accused in (i) Hajipur Town PS Case no. 817/2018, u/s 394 of IPC, (ii) Hajipur Town PS case no. 846/2018, u/s 399/402 of IPC and 25(1-b)a/26/35 of Arms Act (iii) Hajipur Town

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

ABP No.- 995/2026

PS Case no. 725/2018, u/s 394 of IPC and the investigation of this case is going on.

In the above facts and circumstances of the case and considering the fact that the accused/petitioner has committed the murder of son of informant along with other co-accused persons by strangulating his neck and nose with gamcha. The name of accused/petitioner has come on the confessional statement of Anshu Kumar @ Sudama and Shubham Patel which comes in para 168 and 169 of case diary wherein they have conceded their complicity along with this accused/petitioner in the alleged crime. The accused/petitioner has also several criminal antecedent against his name and the allegation against him is heinous in nature hence this is not a fit case to enlarge the accused/petitioner on anticipatory bail and accordingly his anticipatory bail application is hereby rejected.

Dictated

Md. Gheyasuddin
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-II
Vaishali at Hajipur.
13.05.2026