

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No. 433/2026

1. Uma Shankar Singh, aged about 65 years, S/o Bhatu Singh @ Yadu Singh,
2. Rohit Kumar, aged about 19 years, S/o Sri. Uma Shankar Singh,
Both Resident of village – Sahadullahpur, P.S. -Ganga Bridge, District – Vaishali.

- Petitioners

Verses

State of Bihar

- O.P.

Appearance : -

Learned counsel for the petitioners : - Sri. Ashok Kumar Singh, advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State : - Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Present : Harshit Singh, 0
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order: - 23rd April 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioners has been filed u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) & 3(5) of B.N.S. The petitioners are in judicial custody since 23.03.2026 in connection with Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 77/2026.

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that earlier no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per the informant version is that on 14.03.2026 at about 09.00 P.M., the accused persons namely Raushan Kumar, Rohit Kumar, Umashankar Singh, Umesh Singh, Dinanath Singh and Dinesh Singh, due to a dispute over partition, came armed with lathi, danda and iron rods and started abusing him, causing serious injuries, including a severe blow near his eye and head. During the incident, when Kailash Singh tried to intervene, he was also assaulted and injured. The accused allegedly acted with intention to kill and fled from the place of occurrence after villagers gathered, threatening the informant with dire consequence. One of the accused namely Rohit Kumar snatched a Hanumani Locket worth Rs. 15,000/- from the informant.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioners submits that the accused petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and they

Contd.23.04.2026

have falsely been implicated in this case due to local and village politics. As a matter of fact, the petitioner and the informant are both agricultural pattidars and are related, the petitioner being the uncle and cousin brother of the informant. In the instant case, there exists an ancestral land dispute between the petitioners and the informant, and the petitioners have been falsely implicated due to the said land dispute. No occurrence as alleged had taken place. The allegations against the petitioners in the F.I.R. are all under bailable sections, except Sections 109 and 303 of the B.N.S., which are non-bailable but are not applicable against the petitioners. Besides this, both the petitioners are in judicial custody since 23.03.2026. It is, therefore the Ld. Counsel on behalf of petitioners prayed to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both the parties and perused the case diary and other materials available on record. From perusal of the materials on record, it transpires that the prosecution case arises out of a dispute relating to partition between the parties, and there is allegation against the petitioners of assaulting to informant and his family members.

However, from perusal of the injury report of the injured Sunil Kumar, the following injuries allegedly caused by the accused persons were found:

(i) Lacerated wound near the left eye with dimensions 2 cm x 0.5 cm x 0.5 cm.

Nature of injury simple, caused by hard and blunt substance.

From perusal of the injury report of the injured Kailash Singh, the following injuries allegedly caused by the accused persons were found:

(i) Lacerated wound on the right knee with dimensions 3 cm x 2 cm x 1 cm.

(ii) Lacerated wound on the left cheek with dimensions 2 cm x 0.5 cm x 0.25 cm.

Nature of injury simple, caused by hard and blunt substance.

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.23.04.2026

From further perusal of paragraph 20 of the case diary, it also transpires that in the present case, preventive action has been taken against the petitioners and the informant u/s 126 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. It is evident from the First Information Report itself that there is a land dispute between the parties. Besides this, both the petitioners have been in custody since 23.03.2026.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of injury, petitioners custody, the regular bail petition of the petitioners are allowed. Accordingly, the above named accused petitioners are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	23.04.2026
Date of Uploading	29.04.2026
Uploaded by	A.K.