

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No. 423/2026

1. Vishwanath Ram, S/O- Late Saryug Ram, aged about 50 years,
2. Chandeshwar Ram, S/O- Sharwan Ram, aged about 44 years,
3. Shivanth Ram, S/O- Late Saryug Ram, aged about 36 years,
4. Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Vanjan Kumar, S/O- Vishwanath Ram, aged about 20 years,
5. Rahul Kumar, S/O- Chandeshwar Ram, aged about 21 years.

All R/O Vill.- Rajapakar Chauripar, P.S. Rajapakar, District-Vaishali

Versus

Petitioners

State of Bihar

O.P.

Appearance : -

Learned counsel for the petitioners : - Sri Ramnath Thakur, advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State : - Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 18th April 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioners under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Rajapakar P.S. Case No. 155/2026 for the offences u/ss. 126, 115(2), 109, 76, 303(2), 324(4), 117(2), 352, 351(3) and & 3(5) of B.N.S.

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per fardbayan of the informant Ranjeet Ram alleged that the accused persons, who are his co-villagers and patidars, used to threaten and pressurize him for money and had earlier extended threats of murder and abduction upon refusal. On 03.03.2026, when the informant along with his wife was returning home from the market, the accused person namely

Contd.18.04.2026

Chandeshwar Ram, Rahul Kumar, Rohit Kumar, Vishwnath Ram, Shivrath Ram, Raghu Ram, Ravi Kumar and Shashi Kumar, who were armed with lathi and iron rods, intercepted them near the house of one of the accused namely Vishwnath Ram. They forcibly tried to drag the informant's wife inside a house and, upon resistance, she was assaulted by Chandeshwar Ram, Rahul Kumar and Rohit Kumar. When the informant intervened, he was surrounded and brutally assaulted with lathi and rods with an intention to kill, resulting in grievous injuries, including fracture of both hands. During the occurrence, a sum of Rs. 7,000/- was also allegedly taken from his pocket. On alarm being raised, other villagers gathered, but the female accused persons also joined and started pelting bricks and stones. The injured were later taken to hospital, and due to the serious condition of the informant, he was referred to PMCH, Patna, where he is undergoing treatment.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioners submits that the accused petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this false and fabricated case. It has been further submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners that there is no corresponding injury found on the person of the informant or his family members. Both the parties are pattidars and reside in the same courtyard. It is, therefore, the Ld. Counsel on behalf of petitioners prayed to enlarge the petitioners on bail.

Learned Public prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Informant appeared through her counsel.

Heard both the parties and also perused the case record and case diary. From perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that with respect to the petitioners namely Vishwanath Ram, Shivrath Ram and Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Vanjan Kumar, the allegation against them appears to be general and omnibus in nature, and there is no specific overt act of causing grievous injury attributed to the informant/injured.

Contd.18.04.2026

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of the allegations, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioners namely Vishwanath Ram, Shivnath Ram and Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Vanjan Kumar is hereby allowed. Accordingly, the accused/petitioners namely Vishwanath Ram, Shivnath Ram and Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Vanjan Kumar are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.

So far, petitioner no. 2 namely Chandeshwar Ram, petitioner no. 5 namely Rahul Kumar and

Rohit Kumar who is not the petitioner is concerned, as per F.I.R. specific and direct allegation against him of assaulting to the informant and her wife causing grievous injury and the allegation shows clear intention to cause serious injury to the informant. The injury report of injured Ranjeet Ram shows that the injured Ranjeet Ram has **sustained** :

- 1. Swelling on Left Hand near Wrist 2"x2".**
- 2. Abrasion on (Rt.) Elbow (1/2"x 1/4").**
- 3. Swelling on (Rt.) Hand near Wrist (2"x1").**
- 4. Pain in wrist.**
- 5. Swelling on (Lt.) thigh 2"x1".**

Nature of injuries – Grievous, caused by hard and blunt objects. The petitioner referred to Sadar Hospital, Hajipur for X-ray(Lt) hand and report VA-A17-CSN1216 dated 03.03.2026 and report shows fracture noted at Distal Shaft and Styloid Process of Ulna. X-ray Lt. Thigh report shows Normal Study and X-ray Pelvis report shows Normal.

Contd.18.04.2026

The injury report of injured Renu Devi shows that the injured Renu Devi has **sustained** :

1. Abrasion on right side of abdomen 1"x1/4".

2. Abrasion on left Elbow 1cmx1cm

Nature of injuries - Simple caused by hard and blunt substances and there is no specific overt act of causing grievous injury attributed to the informant/injured.

The informant who appeared has stated before the Court that he tried to save the blow on his head, so, his both hands got fractured.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, circumstances of the case as well as nature of the allegations, this court does not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner no. 2 namely Chandeshwar Ram and petitioner no. 5 namely Rahul Kumar. Accordingly, their prayer for regular bail is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali