

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II
Vaishali at Hajipur,
BP no. 418/2026 and BP no. 565/2026

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II
Vaishali at Hajipur,
B.P No.- 418/2026

In the matter of :-

Sachin Kumar, Aged- 23 years, Son of Sushil Kumar Singh @ Pappu Singh, R/o
Village-Suledhiaj, PS- Maniyari, Dist-Muzaffarpur **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... **Opposite Party**

And

BP no. 565/2026

Prince Kumar, Aged- 23 years, Son of Ram Swaroop Rai, R/o Village-Dullahpur, PS-
Goraul (Kathara O.P), Dist- Vaishali **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... **Opposite Party**

ORDER

30.04.2026 The petitioners named above are in custody since 09.03.2026 in connection with Goraul (Kathara) PS Case no.-590/2025, u/s 309(4) of BNS and hence the instant petition for the grant of regular bail has been filed on their behalf. The above named petitioners have filed the petitions for grant of regular bail in two sets, however, since both the matter relates to the same PS case therefore, for the purpose of convenience the adjudication on the petitions is being made together.

The prosecution case in short is that on 13.10.2025 at about 14:20 PM the informant was sitting in his shop where two female customers were sitting to get their jewellery back which was given for repair then suddenly an Apache motorcycle bearing registration no. BR-33AY-3059 three criminals parked their motorcycle in front of his

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

BP no. 418/2026 and BP no. 565/2026

shop and entered into the shop and started threatening him by pointing a weapon at him and threatened that if he will make noise they will open fire. After this the gold item kept in his shop which is of 18 carat small jewellery like nose ring, ear ring, Hanumanji and Durgaji on which holl mark is written and it is written M/s Jewellery and others and silver anklet whose Price were Rs. 3 lakhs and cash of Rs. 85,000/- they took and fled away therefrom. Accordingly, with the said allegation FIR has been instituted.

Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have committed no offence whatsoever as alleged. It is further submitted that entire prosecution case depicted in the FIR is false and concocted. It is further submitted that neither the petitioners have been arrested by the police from the place of occurrence nor anything has been recovered. It is further submitted on behalf of petitioner Sachin Kumar that he has criminal antecedent against his name in (i) Mahua PS Case no.105/2026 for the offence u/s 310(2), 317(3), 317(2) of BNS and 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act. It is further submitted on behalf of accused/petitioner Prince Kumar that he has four criminal antecedent against his name in (i) Goraul PS Case no. 452/2023, u/s 447, 384, 385, 506/34 of IPC and 27 of Arms Act, (ii) Goraul PS Case no.460/2023, u/s 399, 402 of IPC and section 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act, (iii) Goraul PS Case no. 557/2025, u/s 115(i), 126(2), 109, 304, 3(5) of BNS and (iv) Mahua PS Case no. 105/2026, u/s 310(2), 317(3), 317(2) of BNS and 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act. It is further submitted that petitioners are ready to furnish sound and suitable sureties to the satisfaction of the court. It is therefore prayed that petitioners be admitted to regular bail.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and submitted that the

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

BP no. 418/2026 and BP no. 565/2026

allegation against petitioners is committing loot of gold ornaments and cash from a jewellery shop on gun point. As such their regular bail application is fit to be rejected.

From perusal of LCR it transpires that the allegation against accused/petitioners is of committing loot of gold ornaments and cash from a jewellery shop on gun point.

In the above facts, circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the name of accused/petitioner Sachin Kumar has come on the confessional statement of co-accused Rohit Kumar. The accused Rohit Kumar was arrested in Mahua PS Case no. 105/2026 and he confessed to have committed offence under Goraul Kathara PS Case no. 590/2025 and he has confessed that he along with this petitioners and others committed the offence. In para 3 of the bail petition of accused/petitioner Sachin Kumar it has been mentioned by his learned counsel that he has one criminal antecedent against his name in (i) Mahua PS Case no. 105/2026 for the offence u/s 310(2), 317(3), 317(2) of BNS and 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act. However from perusal of para 100 of case diary it appears that the accused/petitioner Sachin Kumar has one more criminal antecedent in (ii) Patepur PS Case no. 169/2020, u/s 399, 402, 411, 412, 414, 420/34 of IPC and 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act and 8/20(b), 25, 29 of NDPS Act. The Ld. Counsel on behalf of accused/petitioner Sachin Kumar has deliberately conceal the criminal antecedant to obtain bail. The accused/petitioner Prince Kumar has four criminal antecedent against his name in (i) Goraul PS Case no. 452/2023, u/s 447, 384, 385, 506/34 of IPC and 27 of Arms Act, (ii) Goraul PS Case no. 460/2023, u/s 399, 402 of IPC and section 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act, (iii) Goraul PS Case no. 557/2025, u/s 115(i), 126(2), 109, 304, 3(5)

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

BP no. 418/2026 and BP no. 565/2026

of BNS and (iv) Mahua PS Case no. 105/2026, u/s 310(2), 317(3), 317(2) of BNS and 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act. The confessional statement of accused/petitioner Prince Kumar has come in the case diary wherein he has confessed to commit the offence. The allegation against them is serious in nature. As such I am not inclined to enlarge the accused petitioners on regular bail and hence the bail application filed on their behalf is hereby rejected.

Dictated

Md. Gheyasuddin
District & Additional Sessions Judge-II
Vaishali at Hajipur.
30.04.2026