

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali at Hajipur
B.P. No. 383/2026

1. Vikash Kumar, aged about 21 years S/o Laleshwar Rai,
 2. Raushan Kumar, aged about 25 years S/o Laleshwar Rai,
 3. Rajmohan Rai, aged about 30 years S/o Ram Narayan Rai,
 4. Ramishwar Rai @ Ram Ishwar Rai, aged about 70 years S/o Late Pragash Rai,
 5. Chintu Rai, aged about 35 years S/o Dinesh Rai
- Resident of village – Nagargawan, P.S. - Raghapur, District – Vaishali.
- Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

- O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner : Sri Amit Kumar, Advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Learned counsel for injured persons : - Sri Sanjay Kumar

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 2nd . April 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioners has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., who are in judicial custody since 10.03.2026 in connection with Raghapur P.S. Case No. 93/2026 registered u/ss. 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 117(2), 352, 351(2), 118(1), 118(2), 109 of B.N.S. and section 27 of Arms Act.

Heard learned counsel for the accused petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the injured persons.

It is stated in Para 2 of bail petition that no bail application either regular or anticipatory has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The prosecution case in brief, as per written report of the informant Pappu Rai is that on 09.03.2026 at about 8.30 P.M. he was sitting at his door alongwith his brother Guddu Rai and there were talking to each other. At that

In the court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.383/2026
(Vikash Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : - Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
02.04.2026

time suddenly Baiju Rai came and started demanding money from Guddu Rai. Guddu Rai replied that he would go to Delhi and ask the Contractor for the money and then he would pay him. However ,Baiju Rai did not agree and started abusing them. Thereafter Baiju Rai called his relatives and associates as named in the F.I.R. who came armed with various weapons and started assaulting the informant and others. During the occurrence, Raushan Rai, Arun Rai and Sunny Kumar fired from rifles, due to which the informant sustained a gun shot injury in his leg and fell unconscious on the ground. Thereafter all the accused persons further assaulted him with sharp edged weapons, axe, lathi causing injuries. It is further alleged that Rahul assaulted Guddu Kumar with a brick. Indrajeet Kumar was attacked by Raj Mohan Rai with Farsa causing serious head injury and Rajnath Rai was assaulted by Lalu Kumar with lathi and iron rod as a result of which his leg bone was fractured. Two women were also assaulted and they were admitted to A.M.C.H. hospital for treatment. It is further alleged that all the accused persons had surrounded the house with the intention to kill the informant and his family members.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioners submitted that the accused petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. hey have falsely been implicated in this case. No occurrence as alleged took place. This case is counter blast of Raghopur P.S. Case No. 94/2026. Both parties have compromised the case. Petitioners 1, 2, 3 & 5 have no criminal antecedent but petitioner no.4 namely Ramishwar Rai has criminal antecedent of one case i.e. Jurawanpur P.S. Case No. 47/2016. Petitioners are in judicial custody in this case since 10.03.2026. Hence, prayer has been made to release the petitioner on bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail.

It is pertinent to mention that B.P. No. 369/2026 arising out of

In the court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.383/2026
(Vikash Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : - Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
02.04.2026

Raghopur P.S. Case No. 94/2026 and B.P. No. 383/2026 arising out of Raghopur P.S., Case No. 93/2026 are heard together.

Heard the parties and also perused the record and the case diary. From perusal of the record and the case diary, it transpires that there is allegation against the petitioners of assaulting the informant and others. It appears that the injury report is not available in the case diary produced by the learned Public prosecutor. This case is counter blast of Raghopur P.S. Case No. 94/2026. Para 16 of the case diary shows that prohibitory action has been taken by the I.O. u/s 126 of B.N.S.S. against both the parties.

From the record, it appears that both parties have compromised the case. The compromise petition duly signed by both parties has been filed before the learned trial court, which copy has been annexed with this bail petition. The injured Guddu Rai, Rajnath Rai, Nilu Devi and Suraji Devi appeared physically and injured Pappu Rai and Anarjeet Rai who are in judicial custody in counter case i.e. Raghopur P.S. Case No. 94/2026 have been produced from the jail and they have supported the factum of compromise.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, circumstances of the case and nature of the allegations as well as detention period of the petitioners, their prayer for regular bail is allowed and accordingly, the above named accused petitioners are directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court below.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

In the court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.383/2026
(Vikash Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : - Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
02.04.2026

Date of Order	02.04.2026
Date of Uploading	06.04.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.