

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali at Hajipur

B.P. No. 362/2026

Chandan Kumar, aged about 24 years S/o Late Vinod Rai,
Resident of village – Chhaukiya, P.S. - Ganga Bridge, District – Vaishali.
- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar - O.P.

B.P. No. 429/2026

Pintu Kumar, aged about 24 years S/o Kamlesh Rai,
Resident of village – Chhaukiya, P.S. - Ganga Bridge, District – Vaishali.
- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar - O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner : - Sri Nagina Prasad, Advocate,

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 09th, April 2026

The two regular bail petitions of the above named petitioners have been filed separately. The petitioners are in judicial custody since 06.02.2026 in connection with the same case i.e. Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 66/2025 registered u/ss 304(2) of B.N.S., therefore, the above two regular bail petitions have been taken up together for hearing and are being disposed of through this common order

Heard learned counsels for the accused petitioners as well as learned Public Prosecutor for the State and also perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of both bail petition that no bail application either regular or anticipatory has been filed on behalf of the petitioners before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

B.P. No.362/2026 & B.P. No. 429/2026
(Chandan Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : - Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
09.04.2026

The prosecution case in brief, as per written report of the informant Rajesh Kumar, Sub-Divisional Engineer (Electric), B.S.N.L. Hajipur is that on 12.05.2025 at about 2 P.M. he was going to Patna from his office and at about 2.20 P.M. when he crossed Ganga Bridge Police Station from his Scooty, then two miscreants borne on bike rashly came and snatched his mobile (Vivo 73 lite 6/128, Vibrant Green) bearing IMEI No. 860564072199650 from his pocket and fled away.

Learned advocates for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. Nothing incriminating articles has been recovered from their conscious possession. Petitioners are not named in the F.I.R.. The names of the petitioners have come in this case on the basis of the confessional statement of co-accused Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya. The petitioner of B.P. No. 362/2026 namely Chandan Kumar has criminal antecedent of two other criminal cases. Petitioner of B.P. No. 429/2026 namely Pintu Kumar has criminal antecedent of four other criminal cases. The petitioners are in judicial custody since 06.02.2026. Hence, petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the parties and also perused the trial court record and the case diary. From perusal of the trial court record and case diary, it appears that it is a case of snatching mobile of the informant while the informant was going on a Scooty. Para 39 of the case diary shows that it has been disclosed by Spy that Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya , **Chandan Kumar and Pintu Kumar** used to snatch mobile and money from truck drivers of the trucks parked of N.H. and the passersby people and on the alleged date of occurrence they had snatched the

B.P. No.362/2026 & B.P. No. 429/2026
(Chandan Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : - Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
09.04.2026

mobile of a person at Mahatma Gandhi Setu. Para 41 of the case diary shows that on conducting raid of the houses of **Chandan Kumar and Pintu Kumar** no any suspicious article has been recovered. Para 55 of the case diary shows that the said looted mobiles were recovered from the named accused of Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 03/2026 namely Sanjeev Kumar @ Patania. Para 57 of the case diary also shows that on the basis of the confessional statement of accused of Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 03/2026 namely Sanjeev Kumar Pataniya, it appears that he alongwith accused **Chandan Kumar and Pintu Kumar** used to snatch the mobile and money from the truck drivers and the passersby people. Para 61 of the case diary shows that during interrogation, the apprehended accused persons in connection with Ganga Bridge P.S. Case No. 03/2026 namely Sanjeev Kumar @ Pataniya and Chandan Kumar disclosed that they alongwith Pintu Kumar used to snatch mobile from pedestrians and truck drivers. The snatched mobile was also recovered. Petitioners are in judicial custody since 06.02.2026.

From the trial court record, it appears that the investigation has been completed and the charge-sheet has been submitted against the accused petitioners u/ss. 304(2) & 317(2) of B.N.S..

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, circumstances of the case and nature of the allegations as well as detention period of the petitioners, their prayer for regular bail is allowed and accordingly, the above named accused petitioners of both bail petitions are directed to be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, subject to the further **conditions that :**

B.P. No.362/2026 & B.P. No. 429/2026
(Chandan Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : - Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
09.04.2026

(i) The petitioners will not indulge in any kind of such offence and shall appear and report to S.H.O. of concern police station on first week of the every month for a period of one year regarding his activity after being released from custody.

(ii) The petitioners will not delay the disposal of trial in any manner what so ever and will remain physically present for framing of charge and at the stage of recording of statement under section 351 of B.N.S.S.

(iii) The petitioners would not repeat similar type of offence in future.

In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, learned Court concern will be at liberty to cancel the bail and send the applicant to prison.

Before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioners, the learned Trial Court is requested to ensure that a copy of this order be sent to the S.H.O. of concern P.S. with direction that, the concern S.H.O. will inform the learned Court, if the petitioners fail to appear before him in any month as specified in aforesaid condition.

However, the bail bonds of the petitioners will be accepted after framing of charge.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

B.P. No.362/2026 & B.P. No. 429/2026
(Chandan Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : - Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Contd.
09.04.2026

Date of Order	09.04.2026
Date of Uploading	13.04.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.