

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
A.B.P. No. 802/2026

1. Ruchi Kumari, aged about 30 years, W/o Anand Prakash, Resident of village – Dilawarpur, P.S. -Bidupur, District – Vaishali presently residing at Chhoti Marai, Hajipur, P.S. Hajipur Town, District-Vaishali.
2. Vijay Singh, aged about 51 years, S/o Late Ramdeo Singh, Resident of village – Chhoti Marai(Pokhara Mohalla), P.S. -Hajipur Town, District – Vaishali.

	-	Petitioners
Verses		
State of Bihar	-	O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner : - Sri. Manoj Kumar Singh, advocate.
Learned P.P. for the State : - Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order: - 17th April 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioners under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bidupur P.S. Case No. 197/2025 registered u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3) & 3(5) of B.N.S.

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that earlier no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per the informant version is that the occurrence took place on 10.10.2025 at about 12:00 noon. The accused persons, namely Ruchi Kumari and Vijay Singh, who are patidars of the complainant, came to the house of the complainant and threatened her by saying, "Just as we murdered your husband, we will kill you too, otherwise get my husband released from jail in connection with Bidupur P.S. Case No. 326/25 lodged by you." They further stated, "You had also filed a case against me, but I was granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court on 08.10.2025." When the complainant replied that they should get bail for him in the same manner, Ruchi Kumari became enraged and, with the intent to kill, assaulted her from behind with an iron rod, causing a grievous bleeding injury on her head. Simultaneously, Vijay Singh caught the complainant by her hair, threw her to the ground,

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

17.04.2026

and disrobed her. During the course of the assault, when the complainant's cousin mother-in-law, Satila Devi, tried to intervene and save her, the accused persons also confined and assaulted her. On hearing the hue and cry, neighbours arrived at the spot and intervened, thereby saving the life of the complainant. The injured complainant was first taken to Primary Health Centre, Bidupur, for treatment, from where she was referred to Sadar Hospital, Hajipur, for better treatment. Her medical examination and treatment were done there. Thereafter, the complainant approached the local police station to lodge an FIR, but no action was taken. Subsequently, she sent a written complaint via speed post to the Superintendent of Police, Vaishali, Hajipur, on 21.10.2025, but no action was taken on that complaint either. Taking advantage of police inaction, the accused persons became more emboldened. On 22.11.2025, the accused persons again came and threatened to kill the complainant, if, she did not withdraw the case bearing Bidupur P.S. Case No. 326/25. Due to continuous threats and assault, the complainant is living in a state of fear and mental distress.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioners submits that the accused petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case due to pattidari disputes and also due to some grudge. As a matter of fact that the petitioner no. 1 namely Ruchi Kumari is the own Gotani of the complainant and petitioner no. 2 is the father of the petitioner no. 1 and petitioner no. 1 has earlier filed a case against the informant in Binodpur P.S. Case No. 197 of 2025 and present informant has also filed a case in Binodpur P.S. Case No. 326 of 2025 against the petitioner no. 1 and which case the Hon'ble High Court has granted anticipatory bail, thereafter, the present case has been cooked up by the informant and informant has obtained false injury. Hence, a prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.17.04.2026

Heard both the parties and perused the case diary and other materials available on record. From perusal of the materials on record, it transpires that petitioners are in-laws of the informant and there is allegation against the petitioners is of demanding dowry and torturing the informant. It also transpires from the case record that the regular bail of Anand Prakash, husband of informant namely Ruchi Kumari was granted bail vide order dated 20.11.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 57865 of 2025 by Hon'ble High Court, whereas, the complaint on which the case was registered u/ss 156(3) of Cr.P.C. was filed in the month of December, which is itself contrary to the averments of complaint.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named petitioners is hereby, allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali