

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No. 360/2026

Ranjeet Kumar, aged about 24 years S/o Baran Ray
Resident of village – Cheharakala, P.S. - Goraul, District – Vaishali.
:- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar :- O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Arjun Kumar Rai, Advocate

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 15th. April 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., who is in judicial custody since 13.02.2026 in connection with Mahua P.S. Case No. 29/2026 registered u/s 304 of B.N.S..

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that no petition for bail either regular or anticipatory has been moved on behalf of the petitioner before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of the informant Slhankar Kumar is that on 13.01.2026 at about 7 P.M. he was going on a bicycle near Chakfateh temple by using his mobile phone, then a person on a Splendor motorcycle came and snatched his green Oppo A57 mobile and fled away towards Mahua. The information was given to the police and with the help of Goraul police station patrolling team, the said thief was apprehended with a motorcycle. On interrogation by police, the said thief disclosed his name as Ranjeet Kumar and on search, the stolen mobile of informant and other three mobiles were recovered from him by the police.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.360/2026
(Ranjeet Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
15.04.2026

implicated in this case. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. He has no concern with the alleged occurrence. Nothing has been recovered from his possession. Petitioner has criminal antecedent of one other criminal case and he is in judicial custody since 13.02.2026. Hence, prayer has been made to release the petitioner on bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard the parties and also perused the trial court record and case diary. From perusal of the trial court record and case diary, it transpires that there is allegation against the petitioner that he snatched the mobile of the informant and on chase, he was apprehended by the police. From his possession the looted mobiles including the stolen mobile of informant were recovered. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 13.02.2026. The investigation has been completed and charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations and the period of custody, the regular bail petition of the petitioner is allowed. Accordingly, the above named accused petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing **bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.**

However, the bail bond of the petitioner will be accepted after framing of charge.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.360/2026
(Ranjeet Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.
15.04.2026

Date of Order	15.04.2026
Date of Uploading	17.04.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.