

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & A.S.J.-III, VAISHALI AT HAJIPUR

A.B.P. No. 784 of 2026

CNR No. BRVA01-002702-2026

Arising out of Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 754/2025

U/ss – 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

=====

Sonu Kumar, S/o: Nageshwar Rai

.....

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....

Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the petitioner

: Sri Shashi Bhusan Singh Subodh,

Abhinandan Kr. Singh, Ld. Adv.

For the State

: Sri Ramnath Mahto, Ld. A.P.P.

23.06.2026

Order

1. The anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner-**Sonu Kumar**, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with aforesaid case, **Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 754/2025, U/ss – 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.**

2. The Ld. Advocate on behalf of the petitioner pressed the anticipatory bail petition and submitted that, the petitioner has not moved for bail either anticipatory or regular before this Ld. Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna earlier in this case. That, the petitioner has only one criminal antecedent as Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 735/2025, in which police has given privilege U/s 141(1) of Cr.P.C. to the petitioner. That the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has falsely been implicated in the present case in order to humiliate, harass and demoralise of petitioner due to grudge and enmity which is mentioned in the F.I.R. itself. That, the allegation made are false and absurd. That, there is no specific allegation of assault against this petitioner. That, no offence under section 109 of B.N.S.2023 is attracted against this petitioner and section 303(2) and 74 of B.N.S. 2023 are super addition. That, the petitioner is ready to abide by all the terms and condition laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. 2023 and if any, that the petitioner is further ready to furnish bail bond of

23.06.2026	local bailors to the satisfaction of the Ld. Court. 3. The Ld. A.P.P. vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition, and submitted that the further investigation is still going on. 4. As per fard-bayan of the informant-Krishna Murari Rai, the prosecution's case in short is that, he recorded his statement before the Police Officer, in the emergency ward of Sadar Hospital Hajipur. On 24.09.2025 at about 15:30 in the evening, Informant was sitting at his door. AT the same time (i) Rahul Kumar, (ii) Sonu Kumar, (iii) Monu Kumar @ Kallu, (iv) Nageshwar Rai, and 2-3 unknown persons came and started abusing her family members over a previous dispute. Hearing the abuse, informant went to them and said "Let's sit down and sort things out. Why are you abusing? Then, all of them started beating without any reason with sticks, iron rods, and axes. Rahul Kumar with intention of killing, hit on the head with the axe in his hand, which fractured her head and caused profuse bleeding. While trying to escape the axe, her fingers of both hands badly injured. Monu Kumar @ Kallu hit her cousin sister-in-law on the head with an iron rod, causing bleeding started on her head. Nageshwar Rai and two or three other unidentified individuals were also punching and beating both men with sticks. Sonu Kumar snatched his gold chain worth Rs. 80,000/- from my neck, and Rahul Kumar snatched Rs. 1,700/- from his pocket. Sonu Kumar pushed his sister-in-law, causing her to fall unconscious. When the villagers began to gather, everyone started running away, threatening to kill her if he confronted them. With the help of the villagers, informant and his sister-in-law, Nita Devi, were brought to Sadar Hospital, where they are undergoing treatment. 5. Heard both the parties. Perused the available C.D., In C.D. Para-10 supervision report of Inspector Hajipur Sadar/Kazipur is mentioned, in this report it is stated that there is a dispute between the both parties from earlier, in C.D. Para-71 and 72, it has been told by the witnesses that they
--------------------------	--

<u>23.06.2026</u>	did not see anyone taking gold ornaments, money etc. In C.D. Para-65 criminal history of accused-petitioner is mentioned, except this case, he has no any criminal antecedent. Injury report is not available yet, as per C.D. Para-70, when police asked the injury report from injured persons, they told that it has not been received yet, it will be produced as soon as it is received. Further investigation is still going. 6. Hence, regard being had to above mentioned facts and circumstances, application for anticipatory bail of the above mentioned petitioners are allowed and I direct that, in the event of arrest or surrender before the Ld. Court below within fifteen days (15 days) from the date of receipt of copy of this order, petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing their bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld Court below subject to the condition under the provision of Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. Subject to filing of undertaking about his criminal antecedent and that he will fully co-operate in trial. 7. O/C will send the copy of this order to concerned Ld. Court below for kind information and needful. 8. The Steno is directed to upload the order on the C.I.S. District & Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Vaishali at Hajipur, 23.06.2026
---------------------------------	---