

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

B.P. No. 335/2026

Deepak Kumar Giri, aged about 30 years S/o Late Shambhunath Giri
Resident of village – Rasalpur, P.S. - Jandaha, District – Vaishali.
:- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar :- O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Amaresh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Learned P.P. for the State : Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Learned counsel for informant :- Sri Ajay Kumar, Advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 24th. April 2026

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., who is in judicial custody since 28.01.2026 in connection with Goraul P.S. Case No. 54/2026 for the offences u/ss. 115(2), 126(2), 80, 103(1) & 3(5) of B.N.S. and section 27 of Arms Act.

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that earlier no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this court of sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of the informant Indrakala Devi is that she has married her daughter namely Priya Bharti with Deepak Kumar Giri on 16.11.2024. It is further alleged that prior to 15 days of the alleged occurrence, it was told by her daughter that her in-laws subjected to torture her mentally for money and vehicle and on protest by her, her husband - Deepak Kumar Giri, mother-in-law - Usha Devi, Nanad - Sujata Devi and Gunjan Kumar @ Rishabh also used to commit Mar-pit with her. It is further alleged that on 25.01.2026 at about 11 A.M. her daughter

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.335/2026
(Deepak Kumar Giri Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

24.04.2026

informed on phone that accused persons are pressurizing her to bring Rs. 5 lakhs cash and vehicle from her Maik, otherwise they will kill her. It is further alleged that on 26.01.2026 at about 7.30 A.M. the in-laws' people informed on phone that her daughter has died. On this information when she reached at the Sasural of her daughter, her daughter was lying dead and head of her daughter was cut. The informant has full confidence that her daughter was murdered by Deepak Kumar Giri, Usha Devi, Sujata Devi and Gunjan Kumar @ Rishabh.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The allegation made against the petitioner is false and concocted. Petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor tortured the deceased. The deceased was posted in Government School as teacher and she was living alone in a rented house of Tapeswar Prasad in village Akhityarpur and her dead body was found in the said rented house by the police, which is evident from the seizure list itself. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Petitioner is in judicial custody in this case since 28.01.2026. Hence, prayer has been made for bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard both the parties. Perused the trial court record and the case diary. From perusal of the trial court record and the case diary, it transpires that there is allegation against the petitioner to commit murder of his wife (informant's daughter) due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand. The marriage of the deceased was solemnized on 16.11.2024 and the alleged occurrence occurred on 26.01.2026 within seven years of the marriage. Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. The informant in her re-statement in Para 5 and other witnesses in their statements in Para 6, 7 and 8 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. The important fact mentioned in Para 79 of the case

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
B.P. No.335/2026
(Deepak Kumar Giri Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.

24.04.2026

diary, which is alleged to be a suicide note discloses the last wish of the deceased that her daughter will light her funeral pyre not her husband. The suicide note also discloses that there were some audio-video messages in the mobile. This para also mentions that when video was played, it revealed that the deceased was tortured. The postmortem report of the deceased Priya Bharti mentioned in Para 52 of the case diary shows that doctor has opined that the cause of death seems to be asphyxia due to hanging. Investigation is still going on.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts, circumstances of the case as well as gravity of the offences, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail. Accordingly regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner is rejected.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	24.04.2026
Date of Uploading	28.04.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.