

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali**

**B.P. No. 324/2026**

Satyam Kumar, aged about 21 years S/o Anil Baitha,  
Resident of village – Gopalpur, P.S. - Vaishali, District – Vaishali.  
:- Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar :- O.P.

---

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner

: - Sri Manish Piyush, Advocate

Learned P.P. for the State

: Sri Shyam Babu Rai, P.P.

Lerned counsel for injured.

: Sri Dharmendra Rai, Advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,  
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

**Date of order : 11<sup>th</sup>. March 2026**

The regular bail petition of the above named accused petitioner has been filed u/s 483 of B.N.S.S., who is in judicial custody since 24.02.2026 in connection with Vaishali P.S. Case No. 770/2025 registered u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), & 3(5) of B.N.S.

Heard the learned counsel for the accused petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor for the State and perused the record.

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that no petition for bail either regular or anticipatory has been moved on behalf of the petitioner before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The prosecution case in brief, as per written report of the informant Md. Kalam is that on 22.10.2025 when his brother Ali Raja was going to take feast in the neighbouring village, in the mean time near Machhu Chowk, all the accused persons as named in the F.I.R. alongwith 2-3 unknown persons assaulted his brother badly with iron rod and butt of pistol and caused injury. It is further alleged that Rs.20,000/- and mobile were snatched away by them from his brother. When the nearby people came to save, then they fled away. Thereafter his brother was brought to Vaishali P.H.C. for treatment.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali  
B.P. No.324/2026  
(Satyam Kumar Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh

Contd.  
11.03.2026

implicated in this case. No occurrence as alleged took place. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. and there is general and omnibus allegation, not specific against the petitioner. There is no repetition of blow. So no case u/s 109 B.N.S. is made out. The case has been compromised between the parties. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Petitioner is in judicial custody in this case since 24.02.2026. Hence, prayer has been made to release the petitioner on bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for bail. The learned counsel for the injured has submitted that both parties have compromised the case.

Heard the parties and also perused the record. From perusal of the record, it transpires that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the informant's brother.

From the record, it appears that both parties have compromised the case. The certified copy of compromise petition duly signed by both parties filed before the learned trial court has been annexed with this bail petition. The injured Md. Ali Raja appeared physically with his lawyer and he has supported the factum of compromise and also stated that he has sustained minor injury. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.02.2026. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in Para 3 of the bail petition.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations and the period of custody, the regular bail petition of the petitioner is allowed. Accordingly, the above named accused petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing **bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.**

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)  
Sessions Judge, Vaishali