

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali**

**A.B.P. No. 521/2026**

Bablu Kumar, aged about 25 years S/o Rajesh Paswan,  
Resident of village – Gopalpur, P.S. - Bidupur, Distt. - Vaishali.

	-	Petitioner
Verses	-	
State of Bihar	-	O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Sri Awadhesh Kumar, advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State :- Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Present : Harshit Singh,  
Sessions Judge, Vaisha

**Date of order : 09<sup>th</sup>. March 2026**

-----  
Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Bidupur P.S. Case No. 406/2022 registered u/s 379 I.P.C..

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per written report of informant Kundan Kumar is that on 13.07.2022 he has parked his motorcycle Hero Splendar Plus bearing registration no. BR31AP 5717 at his door and went away to sleep. At about 12 o'clock when he woke-up, he did not find his vehicle at the door. On search he could not find his motorcycle. It is further alleged that Bablu Kumar was seen roaming near his house at about 11 P.M. in the night. So he has suspicion that Bablu had taken away his motorcycle. On being asked from Bablu Kumar, he replied that he has not taken his vehicle. He was on night duty. Bablu Kumar and his father Rajesh Paswan started giving threat to implicate him in false case. The informant has claimed that Bablu Kumar has stolen away his motorcycle.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

09.03.2026

falsely been implicated in this case. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. He has no concern with the alleged occurrence. He is not named in the F.I.R. but his name has come in the re-statement of the informant. Petitioner and informant are neighbourers and due to village politics he has been falsely implicated in this case. The accused petitioner has criminal antecedent of one other case. Hence, a prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the state opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both the parties and perused the case diary written upto Para 85 of the case diary. From perusal of the F.I.R. and case diary, it transpires that the informant's motorcycle was stolen away from his door in the night. The F.I.R. was lodged on 23.07.2022 against unknown thief. Merely on suspicion raised by informant, the petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of the re-statement of the informant recorded on 21.09.2025 as mentioned in Para 53 of the case diary. Petitioner has criminal antecedent of one other case as mentioned in Para 3 of the bail petition.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as well as nature of allegations, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioner, is hereby, allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)  
Sessions Judge, Vaishali