

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & A.S.J.-III, VAISHALI AT HAJIPUR

B.P. No. 224 of 2026

CNR No. BRVA01-001733-2026

Arising out of Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 353/2025

U/ss – 89, 80, 3(5) B.N.S.

=====

Minta Devi, W/o: Late Laxman Singh

.....

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.....

Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the petitioner

: Sri Santosh Kr. Singh, Amarnath, Ld. Adv.

For the State

: Sri Rajkumar Singh, Ld. A.P.P.

For the Informant

: Sri Naveen Kr. Verma, Prabhat Kr., Ld. Adv.

23.04.2026

Order

1. The Regular bail petition of the petitioner-**Minta Devi**, who is in custody since 27.01.2026 in connection with aforesaid case, **Hajipur Sadar P.S. Case No. 353/2025, U/ss – 89, 80, 3(5) B.N.S.**

2. The Ld. Advocate on behalf of the petitioner pressed the Regular bail petition and submitted that, no petition for regular bail has been moved earlier on behalf of this petitioner before this court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna. But an anticipatory has been moved earlier vide A.B.P. No. 3249/25 which was rejected by Ld. A.D.J.-3rd and the petitioner has also filed before Hon'ble High Court, Patna vide Cr. Misc. Case No. 5408/26 which was withdrawn on 29.01.2026. That the petitioner is in judicial custody since 27.01.2026 and the prayer for bail has been refused by Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate on 03.02.2026. That the petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. That the entire case of the prosecution is palpably false and concocted one and no occurrence did take place as alleged by the prosecution. That the petitioner is old sick widow lady and she is too ill to walk. That the petitioner is the mother-in-law of deceased and the alleged date and time she has gone to her miaka for attend in

23.04.2026	marriage ceremony. So no case is made out U/s. 89, 80, 3(5) B.N.S. against the petitioner. That from the persual of whole case diary the S.D.P.O. Sadar has supervised the case and not found case true against the petitioner. That the petitioner is ready to furnish sound and suitable bailors to the satisfaction of the Court. 3. The Ld. A.P.P. and Ld. Adv. Of informant vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition, and submitted that the petitioner is named in the FIR, there is direct and specific allegation against this petitioner. After investigation Chargesheet has been submitted. 4. As per written application of the informant-Deepan Singh, the prosecution's case in short is that, informant had married his daughter Rani Kumari as per hindu customs to Nitish Kumar. On 08.04.24. Informant had given Rs. 4 lakh in cash and goods as gift. After marriage, the boy was demanding a car and chain. On not fulfilling the demand, Nitish Kumar and his mother and sister (i) Nibha Devi, (ii) Nisha Devi and the boy's elder brother-in-law's name not known, and the boy's younger brother-in-law Akhilesh Kumar, all of them together used to beat his daughter. His daughter Rani Kumari was 5 months pregnant . For the last 15-20 days, the mobile was snatched from his daughter due to which there was no communication with his daughter. On dated 29.04.2025 suddenly the neighbours of his daughters-in-laws informed that his daughter is died. After getting information when informant reached there and found dead body of his daughter lying on cot and all the family members were absconded from home, thereafter Informant informed the police about the incident. 5. Heard both the parties. Perused the L.C.R., C.D., supplementay C.D. and materials available on record. The accused-petitioner is named in the FIR, as per FIR there is direct and specific allegations against this accused petitioner. In C.D. Para-2 inquest report of deceased Rani Kumari has
--------------------------	---

23.04.2026	mentioned. In C.D. Para-10 re-statement of informant is mentioned, he supported his case. In C.D. Para-11 witness Ravi Kumar's statement is mentioned, he supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-12 witness Rupali Kumari's statement is mentioned, she supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-19 post-mortem report of deceased is mentioned, during examination following ante-mortem observations were made, external appearance; eyes closed, mouth closed, 1 1/2 inch wide incomplete, elliptical ligature mark at lev of hyoid bone with knot impression in left submandibular region. It extends from anterior border of left sternocleidomastoid muscle going to the right side, ending posteriorly at nape of neck. No other external injury. Fundal height of uterus is about 26-28 weeks. Opinion is; pregnant female with male fetus of 26-28 weeks, death is because of asphyxia due to hanging. In C.D. Para-21 witness Lalita Kumari's statement is mentioned, she supported the prosecution's case. In C.D. Para-22 witness Puja Kumari's statement is mentioned, she supported the prosecution's case. After investigation case has been found true against this petitioner and police has submitted the chargesheet. 6. Hence, in the light of above said, I am not inclined to grant privilege of bail to this accused-petitioner, the same is hereby, Rejected. 7. O/C will send the copy of this order along with L.C.R. to concerned Ld. Court below for kind information and needful. 8. The Steno is directed to upload the order on the C.I.S. District & Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Vaishali at Hajipur, 23.04.2026
--------------------------	---