

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II
Vaishali at Hajipur,
ABP No.- 472/2026

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II
Vaishali at Hajipur,
ABP No.- 472/2026

In the matter of :-

Ajit Kumar @ Lallu, Aged-30 years, Son of Late Raj Kumar Rai, R/o Village-
Ismailpur, PS- Hajipur Sadar, District-Vaishali, **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... **Opposite Party**

Order

21.04.2026

The petitioner above named apprehending his arrest in connection with Hajipur Sadar PS Case no. 272/2024, u/s 341, 323, 307, 504/34 of IPC and 27 of Arms Act, and hence instant petition for the grant of anticipatory bail has been filed on his behalf.

Heard the learned lawyer for the accused/petitioner as well as Learned APP on behalf of state.

The prosecution case in brief as per self statement of informant Ashutosh Kumar Shukla, S.I. cum SHO of Kajipur P.S. is that on 01.05.2024 at 8.10 P.M. he got information that firings have been made at the Harauli Gitti Rack point. On this information, he alongwith other police personnel reached there and found that firings were made between two parties, in which Nitish Kumar, Pappu Sah sustained fire arms injury and they were taken to Sadar hospital, Hajipur for treatment and from there they were referred to private hospital, where treatment was going on. He got information regarding incident that Pappu Sah had come to

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II

Vaishali at Hajipur,

ABP No.- 472/2026

purchase Gitti at the GittiTal of Rana Singh where altercation between Munshi of Rana Singh namely Nikhil Kumar, Vijay Kumar and Munshi of Gitti Tal of Vinay Singh namely Raju singh and Arjun Rai took place, in the mean time Arjun Rai called his relatives namely Ajit Kumar@ Lalu, Akhilesh Kumar and Rakesh Kumar with weapons and they reached on motorcycles and both parties started scuffling and firings were made by both parties and due to which Nitish Kumar and Pappu Sah who had come to purchase Gitti sustained injuries.

Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has committed no offence whatsoever as alleged. It is further submitted that entire allegations against petitioner is completely false, concocted and baseless. It is further submitted that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and is man of means and as such there is no chance of absconding of petitioner. It is at last submitted that petitioner is ready to furnish sound and suitable bailors to the satisfaction of the court. It is therefore payed that petitioner be admitted to anticipatory bail.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and submitted that the accused/petitioner is named in the FIR and there is specific allegation against him that he started firing on Nitish Kumar and Pappu Sah who had come to purchase Gitti due to which they sustained injuries. As such the bail application of accused/petitioner is fit to be rejected.

From perusal of record it transpires that the accused-petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is specific allegation against him that he started firing on Nitish Kumar and Pappu Sah who had come to purchase Gitti due to which they sustained injuries.

In the Court of Md. Gheyasuddin District & Addl. Sessions Judge- II
Vaishali at Hajipur,
ABP No.- 472/2026

In the above facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that petitioner is named in the F.I.R and he along with other accused persons participated in the alleged occurrence in which two persons sustained gun shot injuries as mentioned in Para 82 & 83 of the case diary. However, from the F.I.R. and the case diary, the involvement of the petitioner is apparent, which has been supported by the witnesses in their statements in Para 7, 8, 11 of the case diary. The occurrence took place for showing the muscles power. Earlier the anticipatory bail application of co-accused person has already been rejected vide ABP no. 1343/2024 by this court. Hence I am not inclined to enlarge the accused/petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the accused/petitioner is hereby rejected.

Dictated

Md. Gheyasuddin
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-II
Vaishali at Hajipur.
21.04.2026