

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali

A.B.P. No. 438/2026

Sunita Devi, aged about 45 years W/o Upendra Ram
Resident of village – Asatpur Satpura, P.S. - Bhagwanpur, Distt. - Vaishali.
- Petitioner

Verses

State of Bihar - O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Smt. Urmila Kumari, advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State :- Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 07th. May 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who is apprehending her arrest in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 252/2024 registered u/ss. 137(2) & 87 of B.N.S..

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The prosecution case in brief, as per written report of the informant Upendra Singh is that his daughter namely Khushboo Kumari aged about 17 years become acquainted with some boys through mobile. On 19.08.2024 in the evening at about 7 P.M. his daughter Khushboo secretly left the house. When she did not return home for a long time, he started searching her and he came to know that Suraj Ram had taken away his minor daughter by luring her. The parents of Suraj Ram were also involved and after keeping his daughter for few days in their house disappeared his daughter with malicious intention.

Learned advocates for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. She has been falsely implicated in this case. The entire allegations made against the petitioner are false and concocted. The petitioner is the mother of main accused namely Suraj Ram. Petitioner is a very poor

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

(A.B.P. No.438/2026)

(Sunita Devi Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

07.05.2026

lady and she has no criminal antecedent. Hence, prayer has been made for anticipatory bail.

Learned Public prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard the parties. Perused the trial court record and case diary. From perusal of the trial court record and case diary, it transpires that it is a case of kidnapping of informant's minor daughter namely Khushboo Kumari aged about 17 years. The informant in his re-statement in Para 5 and other witnesses namely Kunal Kumar Manish Kumar in Para 6 & 7 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case. It further appears from Para 56 of the case diary that charge-sheet has been submitted against the co-accused Upendra Ram and investigation against the co-accused Suraj Ram and his mother Sunita Devi (petitioner) is still going on. From the trial court record, it also appears that the learned trial court has taken cognizance against the co-accused Upendra Ram for the offences u/ss. 137(2), 96 & 3(5) of B.N.S. on 03.01.2025. Para 87 of the case diary written on 15.03.2026 shows that the victim girl Khushboo Kumari is still traceless.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations, I am not inclined to enlarge the accused petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioner, is hereby, rejected.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)

Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	07.05.2026
Date of Uploading	11.05.2026

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

(A.B.P. No.438/2026)

(Sunita Devi Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

07.05.2026

Uploaded by	N.K.
-------------	------

