

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
A.B.P. No. 351/2026

1. Yugal Kishore Jha, aged about 65 years S/o Late Raghunand Jha,
2. Soni Devi, aged about 30 years W/o Sanjeev Jha,
3. Sanjeev Jha, aged about 45 years S/o Late Radhey Shyam Jha
4. Chandan Kumar @ Chandan Jha, aged about 25 years S/o Yugal Kishore Jha,
5. Rupa Kumari @ Rupa Devi, aged about 20 years W/o Chandan Jha,
6. Vimal Devi, aged about 55 years W/o Yugal Kishore Jha,

All residents of village – Dhane Goraul, P.S. - Goraul, District – Vaishali.

	-	Petitioners
Verses		
State of Bihar	-	O.P.

Appearance : -

Learned counsel for the petitioners : - Sri Rajnish Kumar, advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State : - Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 7th.. April 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioners under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Goraul P.S. Case No. 10/2026 registered u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 74, 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3) & 3(5) of B.N.S..

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

Case of the prosecution, in brief, as per written report of informant Ranjan Jha is that on 01.01.2026 at about 3 P.M. when she was at her door, accused Chandan Jha started abusing her in drunken state and at the same time all the accused persons, named in the F.I.R. including the petitioners also started abusing her in filthy language. It is further alleged that Chandan Jha with rod, Rupa Devi with brick and Jugal Kishore Jha with lathi assaulted on her head and caused head injury. Accused Soni Devi tried to press her neck with intent to kill. It is further alleged that they snatched Rs.10,000/- cash and gold chain.

(A.B.P. No.351/2026)
(Yugal Kishore Jha & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

07.04.2026

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the accused petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. No occurrence as alleged took place. No case u/s 109 B.N.S. is made out against the petitioners and the allegation of theft is super addition. Rest offences are bailable in nature. The informant and petitioners are close Pattidar and there is previous dispute between them. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Hence, a prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both the parties and perused the record and the case diary. From perusal of the record and case diary, it transpires that there is allegation against the petitioners of assaulting the informant. The informant and petitioners are Pattidar. Para 20 & 21 of the case diary shows that the witnesses Sudha Devi and Rajan Jha have stated in their statements that the informant and accused Rupa Devi are Gotini. On the alleged date of occurrence Mar-pit started between them, in which informant became injured. The accused Soni Devi and Sanjeev Jha were not involved in the alleged occurrence and they have been falsely implicated in this case.

The injury report of informant Ranjan Jha attached with the case diary shows that she has **sustained** :

1. Lacerated wound on scalp parietal side size about 5 x ½ x ½ cm.

caused by hard and blunt substance. The patient was advised for N.C.C.T Head by the doctor and the opinion regarding nature of injury was kept reserved by doctor

The supplementary injury report shows that as per NCCT report of head, the said injury is simple in nature.

Contd.07.04.2026

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioners is hereby, allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	07.04.2026
Date of Uploading	08.04.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.