

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
A.B.P. No. 99/2026

1. Amallesh Singh @ Amresh Kumar, aged about 56 years S/o Late Ram Sewak Pd. Singh
 2. Arvind Kumar Singh, aged about 60 years S/o Late Ram Sewak Pd. Singh
 3. Anil Singh @ Ajeet Kumar aged about 50 years S/o Late Ram Sewak Pd. Singh
 4. Neelu Devi, aged about 49 years W/o Arvind Kumar Singh,
 5. Dhruv Prasad Singh, aged about 80 years S/o Late Rijhan Singh as per F.I.R. but real father's name is Late Suddi Singh
 6. Dinesh Slingh, aged about 75 years S/o Late Rijhan Singh,
 7. Mithlesh Devi, aged about 71 years W/o Dinesh Singh,
- Residents of village – Karhari, P.S. - Bhagwanapur, Distt. - Vaishali.

		Petitioners
Verses	-	
State of Bihar	-	O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioners :- Mr. Umashankar Pd. Singh , advocate.
Learned P.P. for the State :- Sri Shyam Babu Rai,
Learned counsel for informant :- Sri Jitendra Kumar, Advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order : 13th. May 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for informant on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioners under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 397/2025 for the offences u/ss. 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 118, 303(2), 109, 352 of B.N.S..

It is stated in Para 2 of the bail petition that earlier no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed on behalf of the petitioner before this court of sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per fardbayan of the informant Suryadeo Singh is that on 27.12.2025 at 1 P.M his son was getting cleaned the land in front of the house for the purpose of marriage, in the mean time his Pattidar Amlesh Singh came and started abusing and stopped his son Prashant Kumar from doing the work and started assaulting with spade to him but he saved. Thereafter, Arvind Kumar Singh, Anil Singh, Dhruv Pd. Singh, wife of Rijhan Singh, Dinesh Singh, wife of

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

13.05.2026

Dinesh Singh armed with rod, lathi, spade came and assaulted his son. Accused Arvind Singh assaulted with spade with intention to kill the informant and caused injuries on his mouth, nose, eyes and head. It is further alleged that Anmresh Singh snatched gold chain from the neck of his son worth Rs.3.5 lakhs and Dinesh Singh snatched Rs.25,000/- cash and committed Mar-pit with spade, lathi, danda. The said land is of Khata no. 245, Khesra no. 982, 983, which stands in the name of informant and his wife on which he was getting the flooring work done.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. The entire allegation is false and concocted. No occurrence as alleged took place. The falsity of the case is apparent from the F.I.R. because the informant named the wife of Rijhan Singh as an accused but wife of Rijhan Singh namely Radha Devi had already died on 22.01.2014. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Hence, petitioners may be granted anticipatory bail.

The leaned Public Prosecutor and learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard the parties. Perused the record and the case diary. From perusal of the record and case diary, it transpires that there is allegation against the petitioners to assault the informant and his son.

The injury report of injured Surajdeo Pd. Singh shows that he has **sustained :**

1. Lacerated wound in left temporal region of head with dimension 3.5 cm x 1.5 cm x 1 cm.
2. Lacerated wound near upper lip with dimension 1.5 cm x 0.5 cm x 0.25 cm.

Both the injuries opined to be simple in nature, caused by hard blunt substance.

(A.B.P. No.99 /2026)
(Amlesh Singh @ Amresh Kumar & others Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

13.05.2026

As per the supervision report mentioned in Para 30 of the case diary, it discloses that petitioners Arvind Kumar Singh and his wife Nilu Devi were not involved in the offence and the wife of Rijhan Singh namely Radha Devi died in the year 2014 but the F.I.R. was also lodged against her. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in Para 3 of the bail petition.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, as well as nature of allegations, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioners, is hereby, allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 with further conditions that :

(1) The petitioners would not repeat similar type of offence in future.

In case of breach of such condition, learned Court concerned will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds and send the petitioners to prison.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	13.05.2026
Date of Uploading	15.05.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.

Contd.

13.05.2026

