

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
A.B.P. No. 64/2026

1. Chandravijay Ram, aged about 48 years S/o Rameshwar Ram,
2. Arjun Kumar, aged about 25 years W/o Chandervijay Ram,
3. Mina Devi, aged about 44 years W/o Chandravijay Ram,
4. Rameshwar Ram, aged about 70 years S/o Late Dunilal Ram,
Residents of village – Imadpur, P.S. - Baligaon, Distt. - Vaishali.

		Petitioners
Verses	-	
State of Bihar	-	O.P.
Appearance : -		
Learned counsel for the petitioner : - Sri Birendra Nath Kumar, advocate.		
Learned P.P. for the State : - Sri Shyam Babu Rai,		
Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali.		

Date of order : 13th. March 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioners under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Baligaon P.S. Case No.192/2025 registered u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109, 74, 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S.

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per Fardbayan of informant Bhola Ram is that on 07.12.2025 he was watering the land of mustard, at that time all the accused persons as named in the F.I.R. including the petitioners attacked on him. It is further alleged that Arjun Kumar assaulted him with iron indiscriminately. When his mother Akali Devi came to save, accused Chandravijay Ram caught her hair and pushed her on the ground. Accused Rameshwar Ram and Chandravijay Ram also assaulted his brother Arvind Rai. The villagers assembled and brought the informant and his mother to P.H.C. Patepur for treatment, from where

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

13.03.2026

they were referred to Sadar Hospital, Hajipur for better treatment. It is further alleged that They also scuffled with the wife of his younger brother and also snatched gold Jitiya from her neck.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioners submits that the accused petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. This case is counter blast of Baligaon P.S. Case No. 190/2025. The informant and petitioners are close Pattidars and there is land dispute between them. A Title Suit No. 1749/2025 is pending between the parties for the same land. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Hence, a prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the state opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

It is pertinent to mention that A.B.P. No. 64/2026 arising out of Baligaon P.S. Case No. 192/2025 and A.B.P. No. 3438/2025 arising out of Baligaon P.S., Case No. 190/2025 were heard together.

Heard both the parties and perused the record and case diary. From perusal of the record and case diary, it transpires that there is allegation of assault against the petitioners. This case is counter blast of Baligaon P.S. Case No. 190/2025. It also appears that date of alleged occurrence is 07.12.2025 and the F.I.R. was lodged on 11.12.2025. It further appears that the alleged occurrence was occurred due to watering the land. Title Suit No. 1749/2025 is pending between the parties for the same land. The accused petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in Para 3 of this bail petition. The injury report of injured Akali Devi produced by the learned P.P. shows that she has **sustained** :-

Neck-ache and Bodyache, which are simple in nature.

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.13.03.2026

The injury report of other injured namely Bhola Ram (informant) and Arvind Ram (Informant's brother) have not been made available by the I.O. and learned P.P. despite several directions given to them.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioners, is hereby, allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below, within four weeks of this order,subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali