

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali
A.B.P. No. 63/2026

Vijay Singh, aged about 36 years S/o Mohan Das
Resident of village – Laxmi Narayanpur, P.S. - Goraul, District - Vaishali
- Petitioner

Verses

State of Bihar - O.P.

Appearance :-

Learned counsel for the petitioner :- Mr. Shashibhushan, advocate.

Learned P.P. for the State :- Sri Shyam Babu Rai,

Learned counsel for the complainant :- Smt. Nirmala Kumari, advocate.

Present : Harshit Singh,
Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

Date of order :- 16th. April 2026

Heard the learned defence counsel as well as learned Public Prosecutor and the learned counsel for the complainant on the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner under section 482 of B.N.S.S. who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 289/2024 in which cognizance has been taken u/s 498(A) I.P.C. and section 3/4 D.P. Act.

It is stated in Para 2 of the anticipatory bail petition that no bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed earlier in the present case either before this court of Sessions or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

The case of the prosecution in brief, as per complaint petition of the complainant Sangeeta Kumari is that she was married with the petitioner Vijay Singh @ Vijay Das on 01.03.2020 and after marriage she went to her Sasural, where all the accused persons started giving pressure to bring a Pick-up Van as dowry after purchasing by her parent. On refusal by her, all the accused persons started torturing her in various ways and also started assaulting her badly. It is further alleged that her father gave Rs.2,50,000/- to her husband Vijay Singh, from which he has purchased old Pick-up Van. In the mean time she was blessed with a son from their wedlock. It is further alleged that on 11.01.2024 all the accused persons tried to kill her. On information, when her father came on 12.01.2024 the accused persons started assaulting her in presence of her father. Her father informed Goraul Police and the police came and saved her and his son. Her father brought her to her parental house and

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

(A.B.P. No.63 /2026)

(Vijay Singh Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

16.04.2026

since then she is living in her Maik.

The learned counsel for the above named accused petitioner submits that the accused petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case. The entire prosecution case is false and concocted. Petitioner is husband of the complainant and he is ready to keep his wife/complainant and child with full honour and dignity. The accused petitioner has criminal antecedent of one case i.e. Goraul P.S. Case No. 114/2021. Hence, a prayer has been made to allow this anticipatory bail petition.

The learned Public Prosecutor for the State and the learned counsel for the complainant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both the parties and perused trial court record. After perusal of the trial court record, it transpires that the petitioner is husband of the complainant and there is allegation against him of demanding dowry and subjecting to torture and cruelty. The cognizance has been taken by the learned trial court for the offences u/s 498(A) I.P.C. and section 34 D.P. Act. vide order dated 10.09.2025.

The petitioner Vijay Singh and complainant/wife Sangeeta Kumari appeared physically before the court today with their learned counsel. Both are ready to lead happy conjugal life. The petitioner/husband has stated that he will go to his Sasural and take proper care of his wife and son. The complainant/wife is also ready for the same. Affidavits with regard to the same facts have also been filed on behalf of the petitioner and complainant separately.

Therefore, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as nature of allegations, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named petitioner is hereby, allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest or surrender, he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Vaishali.

(A.B.P. No.63 /2026)

(Vijay Singh Vs. State of Bihar)

Present : Harshit Singh, Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Contd.

16.04.2026

court below, within four weeks of this order, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, subject to the **further conditions that :-**

1. The complainant will live in her Maik and petitioner will always visit his Sasural and establish cordial relations and take proper care of his wife and children.
2. If the petitioner fails to fulfill the said conditions, the complainant/wife may move to learned trial court in breach of condition. The learned trial court will be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds and send the petitioner to prison.

(Dictated)

(Harshit Singh)
Sessions Judge, Vaishali

Date of Order	16.04.2026
Date of Uploading	18.04.2026
Uploaded by	N.K.