

IN THE COURT OF SHRI DEEPAK KUMAR DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS

JUDGE- XI, SIWAN.

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1629/2026

(Arising out of G.B. Nagar P.S. Case No.

212/2026)

U/s 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

Page-1

Ramanjay Manjhi, aged about- 40 years, S/O Late Nageshwar Manjhi, R/o Village- Mishrawaliya
P.S. G.B. Nagar Tarwara, District. Siwan..... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar..... Respondent.

Appearance:

For the Petitioner:

Mr. Kumar Anand Ld. Advocate.

For the State:

Mr. Anup Kumar Singh, Ld. A.P.P.

ORDER

Sl No.	Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with Signature of the Court	Office action taken with Date
1	2	3	4
	03.07.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition dated 11.06.2026 filed on behalf of the accused Ramanjay Manjhi in connection with Police Station – G.B. Nagar P.S. Case No. 212/2026 Under Section- 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5). the copy of which is served upon the ld. P.P 2. Petitioner has given certificate in para 2 of the bail application that except this no other bail petition is filed on behalf of the petitioner either before this learned court or before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Patna. Petitioners has also stated in para 3 of the bail petition that he had got the clean past. 3. The prosecution story in nutshell is that on 22.04.2026 at around 6 pm, when the informant was sitting at the door of his house, then the accused persons a well-planned conspiracy, came to his door armed with sticks, rods and started abusing him. When the informant refused to abuse, Dhananjay Manjhi and Tarkeshwar Manjhi threw him on the ground and started hitting him with sticks. Ramanjay Manjhi with the intention of killing him, took an iron rod in his hand and hit the informant on his head. His entire body was covered in blood, and he fell unconscious. When his wife, Komal Devi came to rescue him, Tarkeshwar Manjhi grabbed her by holding her hair and threw her to the ground, and began slapping her. During the assault, Piyush Manjhi	

IN THE COURT OF SHRI DEEPAK KUMAR DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS

JUDGE- XI, SIWAN.

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1629/2026

(Arising out of G.B. Nagar P.S. Case No.

212/2026)

U/s 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

Page-2

03.07.2026	snatched gold chain worth approximately 50,000 rupees from his neck with the intention of stealing it. While leaving, the aforementioned individuals threatened to kill him. 4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the real fact of the case that the informant and the petitioner are neighbours their respective houses are facing each other. The informant of this case always tried his best to create troubles for the petitioner's family for the trivial things, such as drainage of water, trying illegally to encroach the land of the petitioner. And also the informant previously tried to outrage the modesty of the petitioner brother's wife and for the same the panchayati had been made and he was released after apologizing. That to save himself from his own sinful act, he falsely implicated the petitioner and the rest of his family members in this concocted web of fabricated offence. That for your honours kind consideration there is a case and counter case in between the parties, the accused of this case had been lodged G.B. Nagar Tarwara P.S. Case No. 213/2026 against the informant and his other family members. The informant and his family members brutally assaulted the accused and his respective family members. That in addition to this FIR had been lodged on 24.04.2026 after spending 02 days and there is no explanation about the same that why there has been such delay in lodging of the FIR, despite that the alleged date of fabricated incident is 22.04.2026 and for such an inordinate delay there is no explanation has been given by the informant in his Fir, that why such delay was made. That the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence witnesses. That the petitioner is ready to abide by all the conditions imposed by this learned court to enlarge him on bail. It is therefore, prayed that your honour be pleased to kindly enlarge the petitioner on bail. And for which the petitioners shall ever pray. 5. Ld. APP has vehemently opposed this anticipatory bail petition. 6. Heard. Perused the case diary. The petitioner is the main accused of this FIR who has caused the injuries on the informant. The offence under which the	
------------	---	--

IN THE COURT OF SHRI DEEPAK KUMAR DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS

JUDGE- XI, SIWAN.

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1629/2026

(Arising out of G.B. Nagar P.S. Case No.

212/2026)

U/s 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

Page-3

03.07.2026	FIR is registered includes offence u/s 109(1) BNS. The petitioner's case is not on the same footing as that of the other co-accused persons. 7. Considering the seriousness of allegation and role played by the petitioner in the occurrence, I'm not inclined to allow this Anticipatory Bail Petition. 8. Accordingly, ABP filed on behalf of the petitioner Ramanjay Manjhi is hereby rejected. 9. Any observation made herein above is not an opinion on the merits and the same is only for the purpose of the instant bail petition. 10. Trial Court record be returned forthwith. (Dictated) Sd/- (Deepak Kumar) D.A.S.J. XI, Siwan 03.07.2026	
------------	---	--