

IN THE COURT OF SRI DEEPAK KUMAR DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS

JUDGE- XI, SIWAN.

Bail Application No. 519/2026 (Arising out of
Darauli P.S. Case No. 82/2026)

U/s 126(2), 352, 351(3), 109, 303(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

Page-1

Triloki Yadav, Age- 22 years, S/o Aatma Yadav, R/o Village- Hanumanpur Ke Mathia
P.S. Darauli, District. Siwan..... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Bihar..... Respondent.

Appearance:

For the Petitioner: Mr. Abdul Hameed, Ld. Advocate.

For the State: Mr. Anup Kumar Singh, Ld. A.P.P.

ORDER

Sl No.	Date of Order or Proceeding	Order with Signature of the Court	Office action taken with Date
1	2	3	4
	20.05.2026	1. A regular bail petition dated 04.05.2026 has been filed on behalf of custody accused Triloki Yadav, who is in custody since 08.04.2026. The copy of which is served upon the ld. P.P. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted an application duly seen by Ld. APP regarding the status of accused petition in the criminal history reported against it. 2. Petitioner has given certificate in para 2 that no prayer for bail either anticipatory or regular has been moved, filed or rejected earlier either before this learned court or by the Hon'ble High Court at Patna. Petitioner has also stated in para 3 of the bail petition that earlier two cases of same nature vide Darauli P.S. case No. 319/2025 dated 17.11.2025 and Darauli P.S. case No. 326/2025 dated 29.11.2025 has been instituted against this petitioner, except this case no any other case has been instituted against this petitioner. 3. The prosecution story in nutshell is that the informant Akash Kumar Singh along with Aditya Kumar was going to make payment of outstanding dues. When they reached near Sarna Hanuman Temple, the accused Triloki Yadav, Aman Yadav, Ritik Yadav, Ramashankar Yadav and Golu Prasad wrongfully restrained them and started abusing and assaulting them. It is alleged that accused Triloki Yadav who was wearing bracelet (kada) in his hand, assaulted the informant on his head with an intention to kill, causing a	04

IN THE COURT OF SRI DEEPAK KUMAR DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS

JUDGE- XI, SIWAN.

Bail Application No. 519/2026 (Arising out of

Darauli P.S. Case No. 82/2026)

U/s 126(2), 352, 351(3), 109, 303(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

Page-2

	20.05.2026	grievous head injury as a result of which the informant fell on the ground. Thereafter, all the accused persons submitted allegedly assaulted him with kicks and fists. It is further alleged that the accused persons snatched away cash amounting to Rs. 47,500 kept in the pocket of the informant and also took away his gold chain with dishonest intention. 4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that allegation against petitioner is totally false and concocted and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. That the petitioner has no concern whatsoever with the alleged so called occurrence. That the petitioner is a labour sort of poor man. That other facts and circumstances which will be placed at the time of hearing of this petition. That the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. That there is no chance of absconding or tempering with prosecution evidence. That the petitioner is undertake not to misuse the privileged of bail and he will be remain present in the Court as per the order and direction of this learned Court. 5. Ld. APP has opposed the prayer for bail. 6. Heard. Perused the copy of the case diary submitted by learned APP. The allegation is of a single blow by the petitioner with kada on the head of the injured. The injury report reveals that there is a hairline fracture and due to that the injury is grievous. It is not the case of prosecution that there was repeated blows of kada by the accused petitioner. Regarding this, it is submitted that since there is no repeated blow, even if the injury is grievous, the same is bailable in case the weapon of occurrence is not dangerous in nature. Regarding criminal history, it is submitted that the same is of 2025. The offence are under investigation and involvement of the petitioner in those offences are not yet ascertained. 7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the incarceration period, I am inclined to allow this regular bail petition. Consequently, accused petitioner namely Triloki Yadav is directed to be enlarged on bail on	
--	------------	--	--

IN THE COURT OF SRI DEEPAK KUMAR DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS

JUDGE- XI, SIWAN.

Bail Application No. 519/2026 (Arising out of
Darauli P.S. Case No. 82/2026)

U/s 126(2), 352, 351(3), 109, 303(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

Page-3

	20.05.2026	furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each and subject to conditions as mentioned in Section 437 (3) Cr.P.C./ 480 BNSS. 8. Accordingly, the bail petition filed on behalf of custody accused Triloki Yadav is allowed. 9. Any observation made herein above is not an opinion on the merits and the same is only for the purpose of the instant bail petition. 10. Trial Court record be returned forthwith. (Dictated) (Deepak Kumar) D.A.S.J. XI, Siwan 20.05.2026	
--	------------	--	--