

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Vth, Siwan.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1133/2026

Cyber P.S. Case No. 26/2026

Sahbaj Khan Petitioner.

Vs.

The State of Bihar O.P.

ORDER

09.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused-petitioner namely **Sahbaj Khan** in apprehending his arrest in connection with Cyber P.S. Case No. 26/2026 registered u/s 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 74, 75, 308(2), 308(5), 3(5) of BNS along with 66, 66(E), 67 and 67(A) of I.T. Act against the petitioner and others, put up and pressed.

Heard both sides.

As per the computerized petition of the informant Woman Sepoy No. 641, Heena Khatoon, the prosecution case, in short, is that on 05.05.2025 at about 11:50 P.M at night, the informant and her colleague namely Sujit Kumar were in live in relation. It is alleged that the petitioner and others secretly made objectionable poronographic video of them, when she heard sound from the ventilator, then she went to terrace and saw the people around her tent, the petitioner along with five named accused and three unknown persons were holding mobile phone in their hands. It is further alleged that the accused persons threatened her and pulled her dupatta and demanded Rs. 2 lakh as ransom, then her colleague Sujit Kumar transferred Rs. 8000/- from his Google Pay to Arbaz Ansari and later paid the remaining amount in cash, the accused persons continued to demand money from her when the demand not fulfilled, they made the video viral on Social Media on 19.04.2026.

Ld. Counsel of petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence rather he has falsely been implicated in this case. Earlier, three cases were lodged against the petitioner, in which, he is on bail. The prosecution story is entirely false and concocted. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner except threatening. Sections applied in this case at all are not made out against the petitioner. The occurrence has been said to be happened on 05.03.2025 and 19.04.2026, but the F.I.R was lodged on 22.4.2026, there is abnormal delay without any cogent explanation. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. In the meantime, the petitioner is in apprehension of

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Vth, Siwan.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1133/2026

Cyber P.S. Case No. 26/2026

Sahbaj Khan Petitioner.

Vs.

The State of Bihar O.P.

his arrest by the local police. There is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, Ld. A.P.P opposes prayer of anticipatory bail.

Having gone through the aforesaid facts and submissions as advanced on behalf of both sides and after perusal of case record together with case diary, it appears that this case has been registered u/s 126(2), 127(2), 115(2), 74, 75, 308(2), 308(5), 3(5) of BNS along with 66, 66(E), 67 and 67(A) of I.T. Act against the petitioner and others. There appears general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. Further, it transpires that the petitioner neither recorded/uploaded/published nor transmitted the alleged video on any digital platform. Any active chain of transmission from the petitioner, is nowhere in the entire case diary. Allegation regarding demand of rangdari also transpires to be vague and general. It is argued that brother of petitioner, who was abroad and totally unaware about the occurrence, got arrested on 18.04.2026 and brutally assaulted in police custody, whereas, this case was registered on 22.04.2026.

Regard being had to the facts as discussed above, it seems proper to grant privilege of bail to the petitioner, in the event of his arrest or surrender before learned trial court within four week from today after furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court subject to condition as mentioned u/s 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Umashankar)

Addl. Sessions Judge-Vth,
Siwan.

09.07.2026.