

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Vth, Siwan.

Bail Petition No. 347/2026

Daraundha P.S. Case No. 100/2013

Ranjan Singh Petitioner.

Vs.

The State of Bihar O.P.

ORDER

22.04.2026

This bail petition has been filed on behalf of custodial-accused namely **Ranjan Singh** in connection with Daraundha P.S. Case No. 100/2013 registered u/s 341, 323, 324, 504/34 of I.P.C against the petitioner and others, put up and pressed. The petitioner is in judicial custody since **18.10.2025**.

Heard both sides.

As per the written petition of informant Kanhaiya Singh, the prosecution case, in short, is that on 30.05.2013 at about 6:00 A.M, the informant was going for nature's call, in the meantime, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons variously armed waylaid for the informant and they suddenly rushed towards the informant and abused and assaulted by the weapons they had. On seeing the occurrence, informant's son and servant came for rescue, they were also assaulted by the accused-persons. Petitioner Ranjan Singh assaulted to the informant's son Deepak by means of sword. Co-accused Mantosh Singh and Santosh Singh armed with sword and hockey stick assaulted to informant's servant Suresh Kumar and he sustained head injury, he also sustained cut injury on left hand finger and shoulder. It is further alleged that co-accused Bachcha Singh assaulted to the informant's son Rajesh by means of farsa and he sustained head injury. It is further alleged that all the four accused persons assaulted to the informant and he sustained injury on his hand and blood started oozing out.

Ld. Counsel of petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence rather he has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner have three criminal antecedents. The petitioner has been remanded in this case on 18.10.2025 and since then he is languishing in judicial custody. On perusal of F.I.R and injury found on the injured persons, no case under section 307 of I.P.C is at all made out against the petitioner. There is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence. Hence, he prays for bail.

On the other hand, Ld. A.P.P opposes prayer of bail.

Having gone through the aforesaid facts and submissions as advanced on behalf of both sides and after perusal of record, it appears that this

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Vth, Siwan.

Bail Petition No. 347/2026

Daraundha P.S. Case No. 100/2013

Ranjan Singh Petitioner.

Vs.

The State of Bihar O.P.

case has been registered u/s 341, 323, 324, 504/34 of I.P.C against the petitioner and others. There is allegation against the petitioner that he assaulted to the informant's son Deepak by means of sword. Earlier, the petitioner was on bail before cognizance. Thereafter, cognizance was taken u/s 307 of I.P.C. The doctor opined nature of injury simple. The petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 18.10.2025.

So, considering the aforesaid facts as well as detention period, it seems proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail after furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court **with condition that (1) one of the bailor shall be mother/father of the petitioner and (2) if the petitioner found involved in similar offence in future, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled.**

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Umashankar)

Addl. Sessions Judge-Vth,

Siwan.

22.04.2026.