

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Vth, Siwan.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 30/2026

Andar P.S. Case No. 88/2023

Deal Chauhan and 10 others Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Bihar O.P.

ORDER

20.05.2026

This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused-petitioners namely **Kewal Chauhan, Lal Babu Chauhan, Meera Devi, Madhu Chauhan, Bigani Devi, Runa Devi, Patasiya Devi, Puja Devi, Lal Mati Devi, Priti Chauhan and Rita Devi** in apprehending their arrest in connection with Andar P.S. Case No. 88/2023 registered u/s 147, 148, 341, 323, 324, 307 and 379 of I.P.C against the petitioners and others, put up and pressed.

Heard both sides.

As per the written petition of the informant Indu Devi, the prosecution case, in short, is that the accused persons were filling earth in the field of informant, on protest by the informant, all accused persons including the petitioners attacked to the informant and her daughter. It is further alleged that co-accused Bharti Devi assaulted to the informant on her head by means of bricks. It is further alleged that when the informant and her daughter went for treatment, in the meanwhile, the accused persons barged into the house of informant and committed theft of Rs. One lakh and ornaments. It is further alleged that when next door neighbours namely Mantu Chauhan and Abhishek Chauhan came for rescue, they were also attacked and sustained head injury.

Ld. Counsel of petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence rather they have falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioners bears clean past having no criminal antecedents. The prosecution story is entirely false and concocted. All sections applied in this case are bailable except sections 307 and 379 of I.P.C, which are ornamental. There is land dispute in between the parties. In the meantime, the petitioners are in apprehension of their arrest by the local police. There is no chance of their absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence. Hence, they pray for anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, Ld. A.P.P opposes prayer of anticipatory bail.

Having gone through the aforesaid facts and submissions as advanced on behalf of both sides and after perusal of record, it appears that this

In the Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Vth, Siwan.

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 30/2026

Andar P.S. Case No. 88/2023

Deal Chauhan and 10 others Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Bihar O.P.

case has been registered u/s 147, 148, 341, 323, 324, 307 and 379 of I.P.C against the petitioners and others. There is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. The case has been registered against 24 named persons. Despite several directions, injury report was not produced by the I.O concerned, although this anticipatory bail petition is pending since 03.01.2026 before this court. There is land dispute and both parties are pattidars. The petitioners bear no criminal antecedents as mentioned at para no. 3 of this bail petition.

So, considering the aforesaid facts, it seems proper to grant privilege of bail to the petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before learned trial court within four weeks from today after furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction learned trial court subject to condition as mentioned under section 482 of BNSS.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Umashankar)

Addl. Sessions Judge-Vth,

Siwan.

20.05.2026.