

**IN THE COURT OF MANILA CHUGH (UID No. PB00205)
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, LUDHIANA.**

**B.A. No. 9824 of 2025
CNR No.PBLD01-024690-2025
Date of Order: 20-11-2025**

State

Versus

Pankaj Kumar @ Kali aged about 25 years son of Shayamm Lal resident of House No.5434, St. No.16, Kalgidhar Marg, New Shimlapuri, Tehsil and District Ludhiana.

..... Applicant/Accused.

**Application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha
Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail.**

FIR No. 102 dated 17-10-2025
Under sections 126(2), 115(2), 190,191(3), 351(2) of
BNS 2023
Lateron added section 118(1) of BNS 2023
Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana.

Present: Ms. Manpreet Kaur Advocate, Ld. Counsel for the
applicant/accused.
Sh. Mahesh Goyal, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor for the
State.

ORDER

Prayer for anticipatory bail is made by applicant/accused on the ground of innocence and false implication. It is pleaded and argued that originally the FIR was lodged against Ricky and four unknown persons and thereafter applicant/accused was nominated on the basis of statement of complainant Balram which is inadmissible in evidence. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused further contended that the alleged recovery stands effected from co-accused and nothing is to be recovered from applicant/accused. He is ready to join and co-operate in investigation. Prayer for grant of anticipatory

bail has thus been made.

2. Per contra Ld. APP has opposed present bail application on the ground that categorical allegations have been levelled by complainant Balram in his statement given to police on 17-10-2025 to the effect that as a matter of routine, he had set up the vending cart of 'Kulche Chane' outside Harkrishan School at 10 A.M. and at 01 P.M., he received phone call from his son namely Rohit that three persons had eaten 'kulche chane' at their cart but were refusing to make the payment and had rather flipped over the cart. Accordingly Balram went to the spot and saw that three unknown persons were giving beatings to his son Rohit and when he tried to rescue his son, those assailants started giving beatings to complainant also. Then complainant called his son Mohit Kumar who reached at the spot and then those assailants gave beatings to him and also to his wife Sunita Rani who had also later arrived at the spot. It is contended that complainant had specifically raised an allegation that one of those assailants had inflicted injury on his left hand with 'dah' and on his forehead and the another assailant had caused injury on forehead of Mohit Kumar with 'kara'. Thereafter all the assailants fled away from the spot. Complainant and his son Mohit got themselves medically examined at Civil Hospital Ludhiana. Accordingly FIR was registered against unknown persons and lateron complainant had suffered supplementary statement on 25-10-2025 that complete name of assailant Ricky was Mahesh Kumar and the other assailants were Lovepreet Singh and present applicant/accused Pankaj @ Kali. Thus, applicant/accused was nominated in the present case on 25-10-2025. Ld. APP contended that injuries have been caused on vital parts of persons of victims Balram and Mohit Kumar with sharp edged weapon. Therefore

applicant/accused does not deserve the concession of bail. Dismissal of present bail application was thus prayed for.

3. I have given thoughtful consideration to rival contentions and have perused the record. Specific and serious allegations are levelled against applicant/accused that he alongwith co-accused had inflicted injuries on the person of complainant and his son Mohit Kumar by use of 'dah' and 'kara'. The effect of his nomination as accused on the basis of supplementary statement of complainant cannot be commented upon at this stage. Keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances and seriousness of offence, this Court does not deem it fit to grant benefit of anticipatory bail to applicant/accused. The present anticipatory bail application stands dismissed accordingly. Police record be returned. File be consigned to Judicial Record Room, Ludhiana.

Pronounced in the open court.
Date of order : 20-11-2025

(Manila Chugh)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ludhiana. (UID No.PB0205)

State versus Pankaj Kumar

Present: Ms. Manpreet Kaur Advocate, Ld. Counsel for the
applicant/accused.
Sh. Mahesh Goyal, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor for the
State.

Arguments heard. Record perused. Vide my separate detailed
order of even date, present bail application stands dismissed. The present bail
application file be consigned to Judicial Record Room, Ludhiana.

Pronounced in the open court.
Date of order : 20-11-2025

(Manila Chugh)
Additional Sessions Judge
Ludhiana (UID No.PB0205)

Sarabjyot Kaur