

Distt:- Supaul

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, SUPAUL

PRESENT :- Anant Singh
Sessions Judge, Supaul

Date of Judgment -- The 10th day of June-2026

ST-403/2023

(Arising out of Jadia PS case No.:-34 of 2015, dated-25.03.2015 under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 354, 324, 326, 307, 506, 504 of I.P.C corresponding to GR No.-478/2015 committed to the court of Sessions by the Ld. A.C.J.M-V, Supaul vide order dated-13.09.2023).

FIR NO.- JADIA, PS CASE NO.:-34/2015, dt.-25.03.2015
--

COMPLAINANT	State through INFORMANT Khelanand Thakur	
REPRESENTED BY	Shri Amar Kumar Das, Ld. Addl. PP	
ACCUSED PERSONS	1.	Bambam Kumar Sah..... Aged about 37 Years..... A-1 S/O Damodar Sah.
	2.	Kameshwar Sah..... Aged about 57 Years A-2 S/O Vindeshwari Sah.
	3.	Rahul Kumar..... Aged about 26 Years..... A-3 S/O Kumod Sah
	4.	Anandi Sah..... Aged about 77 Years..... A-4 S/O Vindeshwari Sah
	5.	Jitendra Kumar..... Aged about 36 Years..... A-5 S/O Dukhilal Sah
	6.	Dilip Kumar Sah..... Aged about 48 Years..... A-6 S/O Dhukhi Sah
	7.	Surendra Kumar Sah..... Aged about 33 Years... A-7 S/O Dhukhi Sah
	8.	Dukhi Sah..... Aged about 81 Years..... A-8 S/O Vindeshwari Sah
	9.	Arun Kumar Sah..... Aged about 41 Years..... A-9 S/O Damodar Sah
	Accused Persons- A-1, A-2, A-4, A-7, A-8 & A-9 R/o Village- Anantpur Chowk, P.S- Jadia, District- Supaul and Accused Persons- A-3, A-5 & A-6 R/o Village- Jadia Ward No.05, P.S- Jadia, District- Supaul.	
REPRESENTED BY	Sri Nand Kishore Yadav, Ld. Advocate.	

FORM-B							
➤	Date of Offence			25.03.2015			
➤	Date of FIR			25.03.2026			
➤	Date of Charge Sheet			01.07.2018			
➤	Date of Cognizance			15.09.2022			
➤	Date of Framing of Charge			22.04.2024			
➤	Date of Commencement of Evidence			19.03.2026			
➤	Date on Which Judgment is reserved			10.06.2026			
➤	Date of the Judgment			10.06.2026			
➤	Date of the Sentencing Order, if any			Not Applicable			
ACCUSED DETAILS							
Rank of the accused	Name of the accused	Date of Arrest	Date of Release on Bail	Offences Charged with	Whether Acquitted or convicted	Sentence Imposed	Period of Detection Undergone during Trial for Purpose of Section 428 Cr.P.C
A-1	Bambam Kumar Sah	-----	20.05.2015	U/S 147, 148, 341, 323, 324, 326(A), 307/149, 506 IPC	Acquitted	N/A	N/A
A-2	Kameshwar Sah	-----	20.05.2015				
A-3	Rahul Kumar	-----	05.10.2015				
A-4	Anandi Sah	-----	20.05.2015				
A-5	Jitendra Kumar Sah	-----	05.10.2015				
A-6	Dilip Kumar Sah	-----	20.05.2015				
A-7	Surendra Kumar Sah	-----	20.05.2015				
A-8	Dukhi Sah	-----	20.05.2015				
A-9	Arun Kumar Sah	-----	05.10.2015				

J U D G M E N T

1. In the above noted case altogether nine accused persons were charged and facing the trial for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 341, 323, 324, 326(A), 307/149, 506 of I.P.C vide order dated-22.04.2024.

2. In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 25.03.2015, a written application was given by the informant namely, Khelanand Thakur to the police of P.S Jadia and stating therein that today at about 7:00 AM, during the course of going to

join the tuition classes when he reached at Anantpur Chowk, then accused persons Jitendra Sah and Rahul Sah who were making the obscene or indecent signals to the girls and when these girls informed the informant then some other persons along with informant reached at Anantpur Chowk and they make the complaint to the parents of those boys, then those persons were started abusing and assaulting the informant. These accused persons are also called their relatives on mobile phone and started assaulting the informant. The informant further stated that the accused persons namely, Bandelal Sah, Dukhilal Sah, Sunil Sah, Kameshwar Sah, Anandi Sah, Dilip Sah, Bambam Sah, Surendra Sah, Laddu Sah, Damodar Sah, Jitendra Sah have assaulted the informant by different weapons and accused Arun Sah poured the acid on the informant and other persons. The informant further stated that the accused persons namely, Dilkhush Kumar and Suman Thakur have sustained the acid attack after that the informant along with other persons came to police station and registered the case.

On the basis of the above said written application of the informant, police of Jadia Police Station registered a case under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 354, 324, 326, 307, 506, 504 of I.P.C bearing P.S Case no.-34/2015 against the accused persons.

After completion of the investigation police has submitted the charge-sheet against the accused persons on 01-07-2018. Accordingly, Ld. A.C.J.M-V, Supaul has taken cognizance against the accused persons namely, 1. Jitendra Sah, 2. Rahul Sah, 3. Dukhilal Sah, 4. Anandi Sah, 5. Laddu Sah, 6. Kameshwar Sah, 7. Bambam Sah, 8. Damodar Sah, 9. Arun Sah, 10. Dilip Kumar Sah and 11. Surendra Sah under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 354, 324, 326, 307, 506 IPC vide order dated-15.09.2022. The police paper was supplied to the accused persons.

In this case, one of the accused namely, Laddu Sah had died on 02-12-2022 and his death certificate bearing no.-D-202510619390000246 was filed by his Id. counsel and vide order dated 17-02-2026 the proceeding against the accused Laddu Sah has dropped.

The offence of under Section 307 of I.P.C is exclusively triable by the court of Sessions and, hence, the record was committed to this court by the Ld. A.C.J.M-V, Supaul vide order dated-13.09.2023 for trial and disposal to this court.

3. After completion of the prosecution evidence, the statement of the accused persons have recorded under Section 313 of Cr.P.C on 10.06.2026 and all the incriminating questions put to them which they denied and pleaded innocence. After

that one more witness Dilkhush Kumar P.W.-4 was examined but no any incriminating evidence surfaced against the accused persons hence, the further recording of statement u/s 313 Cr.P.C. is not required.

FINDINGS

4. In order to prove the case the prosecution has examined Four witnesses namely:-

S.No.	NAME OF THE WITNESSES	EVIDENCE
1.	Khelanand Thakur/Informant	P.W.-1
2.	Suman Thakur @ Virendra Thakur	P.W.-2
3.	Kanhaiya Jha @ Manoj Kumar Jha	P.W.-3
4.	Dilkhush Thakur	P.W.-4

5. The prosecution has also produced documentary evidences on the record, that signature of informant namely, Khelanand Thakur on the written application, which is exhibited as **Exhibit-01**.

6. The first prosecution witness namely, Khelanand Thakur, examined as P.W.-01, in his examination-in-chief, he deposed that he has filed this criminal case against Dukhi Sah and other accused persons. He further deposed that he has compromise the matter with accused persons. He further deposed that about 4 years ago, some quarrel took place with accused persons and both sides abused each other. He further deposed that he has given written statement to the police and put his signature on the same which is exhibited as **Exhibit-1**. The witness correctly identified the accused persons present in the court.

This witness has declared hostile and nothing new has been elicited in the detailed cross-examination conducted by Ld. APP.

7. The second and third prosecution witnesses namely, Suman Thakur @ Virendra Thakur and Kanhaiya Jha @ Manoj Kumar Jha examined as P.W.-02 & P.W.-03 respectively, in their examination-in-chief, they have not supported the prosecution case and deposed in one sentence that they have no knowledge about the commission of the crime. Police has not recorded their statements. Though, they identified the accused persons present in the court.

Both the witnesses have been declared hostile and nothing new has been elicited in the detailed cross-examination conducted by the Ld. A.P.P.

8. The forth prosecution witness namely, Dilkhush Thakur examined as P.W.-04, in his examination-in-chief, he deposed that he has no knowledge about the commission of crime and no one has poured kerosene oil on him. He further deposed that he has not taken any treatment from any hospital and the police has not recorded his statement.

This witness has also been declared hostile and nothing new has been elicited in the detailed cross-examination conducted by the Ld. APP.

9. Argument heard from both sides and perused the record. In this case, the prosecution has examined altogether four witnesses including informant namely Khelanand Thakur. None of the witnesses has supported the prosecution case and all the witnesses specifically deposed that they have no knowledge about the commission of the crime and P.W.-1 Khelanand Thakur i.e. the informant has specifically deposed that he has compromise the matter with accused persons. Accordingly, this court has no other alternative but to acquit the accused persons from all charges framed against them.

CONCLUSION

Hence, the prosecution has miserably failed to prove the charges levelled against the accused persons namely, Bambam Kumar Sah, Kameshwar Sah, Rahul Kumar, Anandi Sah, Jitendra Kumar, Dilip Kumar Sah, Surendra Kumar Sah, Dukhi Sah and Arun Kumar Sah have not found and not held guilty for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 341, 323, 324, 326(A), 307/149, 506 of I.P.C. Accordingly, they are **“Acquitted”** from the same. As the accused persons are on bail, so their bail bonds are accordingly discharged.

The Judgment signed, dated, sealed and pronounced by me in the open court today.
File be consigned to record room after due formalities.

Dictated & Corrected by me.

Sd/-
(Anant Singh)
Sessions Judge, Supaul
Dated:-10.06.2026

Sd/-
(Anant Singh)
Sessions Judge, Supaul
Dated:-10.06.2026

Date of Judgment:-	10-06-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment:-	10-06-2026
Uploading Date:-	
Uploaded by:-	Nitish Kumar, DEO