

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul

B.A.-406/2026

(Arising out of Pipra PS Case No.315/2025)

	Sandeep Kumar, S/o- Gajendra Khirhar, R/o- Jibachhpur (Maheshpur), Ward No. 05, PS- Pipra, District- Supaul; Accused/Petitioner Vs. State of Bihar O.P.	
<u>12/06/26</u>	Ld. Counsel for petitioner Sri. Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate. Ld. APP Md. Abu Jaffar, for the State. By this order we are going to dispose off the bail application filed on behalf of the accused/ petitioner, namely Sandeep Kumar, who is in judicial custody since 14.05.2026 in connection with Pipra PS case No.315/25, u/s- 309(4) of B.N.S. Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioner submitted that accused/ petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no crime and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. He further submitted that accused/ petitioner has not involved in any other case and having the clean antecedent. He further submitted that accused/ petitioner is not named in FIR and there is only allegation against this accused/ petitioner is that he has purchased the stolen mobile. He further submitted that the offence as alleged in FIR is not applicable against the accused/ petitioner. It is also submitted that accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 14.05.2026 and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/ petitioner behind the bar. Ld. APP for the State strongly opposed the prayer of bail application. In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 29.07.2025 at about 09:00 P.M., the informant was coming to his house from Pipra Market on his motorcycle bearing registration No.BR50J-8883 and when he reached near Mahesh Lal Memorial School, three accused persons forcibly stopped him and on the gun point snatched his mobile phone, cash of Rs.2350/- as well as other documents. Argument heard from both sides and perused the record as well as case diary. Admittedly, this accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 14.05.2026. On perusal of the case diary, it appears that the police has recorded the statement of witnesses namely Arvind Prasad Vishwash, Navlesh Prasad Vishwash and both the witnesses have duly supported the prosecution case. As per para No.22 of the case diary, the police has also collected the CDR report of the mobile phone snatched by the accused person from the informant. As per para No.54 of the case diary, the location of the mobile SIM was found at Maheshpur and from Sandeep Kumar, the petitioner herein, the said mobile phone was recovered and the police also recorded the confessional statement of accused/ petitioner Sandeep Kumar. As per the statement of accused/ petitioner Sandeep Kumar,	Contd.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul

B.A.-406/2026

(Arising out of Pipra PS Case No.315/2025)

Contd...
12/06/26

he has purchased this stolen mobile phone from the accused Mukesh Kumar, Jyotish Paswan and Satish Kuma worth of Rs.3500/-. Besides this there is no any evidence against this accused/ petitioner. Admittedly, this accused/ petitioner has purchased the stolen mobile from the the accused persons and the same was recovered from him. As per para No.64 of the case diary, this accused/ petitioner is having the clean antecedent.

Hence, keeping in mind the period of custody, nature of allegation and the clean antecedent of the accused/ petitioner, I find that accused/ petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail. Therefore, the bail application, filed on behalf of accused/petitioner namely Sandeep Kumar stands **Allowed** on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below and one of the bailor must be family member or close relative.

Dictated
-Sd-
(Anant Singh)
Sessions Judge, Supaul