

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul

B.A.-398/2026

(Arising out of Pipra P.S. Case No.175/2026)

	Sumit Kumar, S/o- Dinesh Swarnkar, R/o- Triveniganj, Ward No.05, PS- Triveniganj, District- Supaul;Accused/Petitioner Vs. State of BiharO.P.	
<u>06/06/26</u>	Ld. Counsel for petitioner Sri. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate. Ld. APP Sri. Amar Kumar Das, for the State. By this order we are going to dispose off the bail application filed on behalf of the accused/ petitioner, namely Sumit Kumar, who is in judicial custody since 24.05.2026 in connection with Pipra PS Case No.:–175/2026, u/s- 303(2), 317(2) of B.N.S. Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioner argued that accused/ petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no crime and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to local politics and enmity. He further submitted that accused/ petitioner has not involved in any other case and having the clean antecedent. He further submitted that the offence as alleged in FIR is not applicable against the accused/ petitioner. He further submitted that accused/ petitioner is not named in FIR and he has been framed in the instant case only on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused. It is also submitted that accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.05.2026 and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/ petitioner behind the bar. Ld. APP for the State strongly opposed the prayer of bail application. In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 23.05.2026, a written application was given by the informant namely Shikha Kumari to the police of PS Pipra, stating therein that she along with her husband has came at the house of her relative in order to participate in the <i>Saradh</i> ceremony of her maternal grand father and on 21.05.2026 her golden <i>Mangalsutra</i> was stolen by her maternal uncle Hiralal Rai. When this fact was came to her knowledge, she enquired from him then he started abusing the informant. After that the informant informed the police and given the written statement. Argument heard from both sides and perused the lower court record as well as case diary. Admittedly, this accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.05.2026. The allegation against this accused/ petitioner is that he is the goldsmith and he has purchased the stolen jewelry of the informant from the accused Hiralal Rai. It is pertinent to mention here that on the last date of hearing the informant herself appeared before this court and submitted that she has compromised the matter with the accused persons and the main accused is her maternal uncle and she also received her stolen	Contd.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul

B.A.-398/2026

(Arising out of Pipra P.S. Case No.175/2026)

Contd..
06/06/26

jewelry and the main accused Hiralal Rai has already been granted bail by the Ld. lower court. Since the main accused Hiralal Rai has already been granted bail by the Ld. lower court and the informant has received the stolen article and she has compromised the matter with the accused persons. Hence, I find that this accused/petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail. Therefore, the bail application, filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner namely Sumit Kumar stands **Allowed** on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below and one of the bailor must be family member or close relative.

Dictated
-Sd-
(Anant Singh)
Sessions Judge, Supaul