

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul

B.A.-378/2026 & Ba-425/2026

(Arising out of Birpur PS Case No. 361/2024)

	<u>BA-378/2026</u> Manoj Yadav, S/o- Yoganand Yadav, R/o- Hasanpur, PS- Raniganj, District- Arariya;Accused/Petitioner Vs. State of BiharO.P. Chhunu Yadav @ Chunnu Kumar @ Chunni Yadav, S/o- Umanand Yadav, R/o- Hasanpur, PS- Raniganj, District- Arariya; Vs. State of BiharO.P.	
<u>27/06/26</u>	Ld. Counsel for petitioners Sri. Rajendra Prasad Yadav and Sri. Raj Kumar Singh, Advocates. Ld. APP Sri. Amar Kumar Das, for the State. By this order we are going to dispose off two bail application i.e., BA No.378/2026 filed on behalf of the accused/ petitioner namely Manoj Yadav, who is in judicial custody since 14.04.2026 and BA No.425/2026 filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner namely Chhunu Yadav @ Chunnu Kumar @ Chunni Yadav, who is in judicial custody since 19.05.2026. As both the bail applications arises out of same P.S. i.e., Birpur PS case No.361/24, u/s- 310(2), 317(3) of B.N.S. Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioners submitted that accused/ petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no crime and they have falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. He further submitted that accused/ petitioners have not involved in any other case and having the clean antecedent. He further submitted that the offence as alleged in FIR is not applicable against the accused/ petitioners. It is also submitted that both the accused/ petitioners are in judicial custody since 14.04.2026 and 19.05.2026 respectively and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/ petitioners behind the bar. Ld. APP for the State strongly opposed the prayer of bail application. In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 28.10.2024 at about 07:30 P.M., when the informant reached near the house of Madan Mandal, three persons riding on a black colour pulsar motorcycle came there and pushed the informant and snatched his bag containing laptop, fingerprint device and cash of Rs.12,500/- and taken away by the accused persons. The informant further alleged that the during the course of scuffle the wrist watch and a black colour Gamcha of the accused left there. The informant also	Contd.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul

B.A.-378/2026 & Ba-425/2026

(Arising out of Birpur PS Case No. 361/2024)

Contd...
27/06/26

given the description of the accused persons.

Argument heard from both sides and perused the record as well as case diary. Admittedly, both the accused/ petitioners is in judicial custody since 14.04.2026 and 19.05.2026 respectively. On perusal of the case diary, it transpires that during the course of investigation the police has also recorded the statement of the witnesses namely Pawan Kumar, Nand Kishor Mehta and Bishundev Kamat. The police has also collected the called details of the accused persons and on that basis the accused Raushan Kumar was arrested by the police. On going through the para No.48 of the case diary, on the pointing of the accused Raushan Kumar, the stolen bag, mobile phone and some documents were recovered. As per the submission of Ld. counsel, both the accused/ petitioners are having the clean antecedent. Since, the stolen articles has already been recovered the house of accused Raushan Kumar. The investigation of this case is complete and the charge-sheet against these accused/ petitioners has also been filed. It is also pertinent to mention here that in this case one of the accused namely Raushan Kumar has got the regular bail by this court vide order dated 07.03.2025 in BA No.43/25.

Hence, keeping in mind the period of custody, completion of investigation and clean antecedent of the accused/ petitioners, I find that both the accused/petitioners are entitled to be enlarged on bail. Therefore, the bail application, filed on behalf of both accused/petitioners namely Manoj Yadav and Chhunu Yadav @ Chunnu Kumar @ Chunni Yadav stands **Allowed** on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below and one of the bailor must be family member or close relative **and the bail bond shall be accepted after framing of charge, so that the trial should not be hampered.**

Dictated
-Sd-
(Anant Singh)
Sessions Judge, Supaul