In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul
B.A.-332/2026 & BA-360/2026
(Arising out of Karjain P.S. Case No. 61/2026)

BA-332/2026

Lalo Mandal @ Lal Mandal, S/o- Late Ramji Mandal, R/o- Parmanandpur, Ward No.11, PS- Karjain, District- Supaul; …….....……....…..Accused/Petitioner

Vs.

State of Bihar ….....…....….....O.P.

BA-360/2026

Suraj Kumar @ Suraj Kumar Mandal, S/o- Lalo Mandal @ Lal Mandal, R/o- Parmanandpur, Ward No.11, PS- Karjain, District- Supaul;

…….....……....…..Accused/Petitioner

Vs.

State of Bihar .....…....….....O.P.

20/06/26

Ld. Counsel for petitioners Sri. Nayan Kumar and Sri. Praveen Kumar Singh, Advocates.

Ld. APP Sri. Amar Kumar Das, for the State.

By this order we are going to dispose off two bail applications i.e., BA No.332/2026 filed on behalf of the accused/ petitioner namely Lalo Mandal @ Lal Mandal, who is in judicial custody since 26.04.2026 and BA No.360/2026 filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner namely Suraj Kumar @ Suraj Kumar Mandal, who is in judicial custody since 26.04.2026. As both the bail applications arises out of same P.S. i.e., Karjain PS case No.61/26, u/s- 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of B.N.S.

Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioners argued that accused/ petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no crime and they have falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics and land dispute. They further submitted that accused/ petitioners have not involved in any other case and having the clean antecedent. They further submitted that the offence as alleged in FIR is not applicable against the accused/ petitioners. It is also submitted that both the accused/ petitioners are in judicial custody since 26.04.2026 and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/ petitioners behind the bar.

Ld. APP for the State strongly opposed the prayer of bail application.

In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 04.04.2026 at about 02:00 P.M., the accused persons namely Suraj Kumar, Lalo Mandal, Akhilesh Kumar, Shanti Devi and Sanjan Kumari forcibly entered into the house of the informant and affixed the pillar there and all the accused persons also abused the informant and they were also having the *Farsa, Dabiya,* iron-rod and stick. The informant further alleged that accused Suraj Kumar also assaulted Raj Kumar Mandal by sharp edge weapon on his head, thereby he sustained injury and blood was started oozing. When Subhkala Devi tried to save the injured then accused persons also touched her inappropriately and also torn her clothes and snatching her silver

Contd... 20/06/26	chain. The accused accused persons also snatched the Mangalsutra of the informant and also took away the clothes and cash of Rs.8000/- from the house of the informant. Argument heard from both sides and perused the record as well as case diary. Admittedly, both the accused/ petitioners are in judicial custody since 26.04.2026. On perusal of the case diary, it transpires that the police also recorded the statement of the witnesses namely Amerika Devi, Sanjit Kumar and Mamta Devi and all the witnesses have duly supported the prosecution case. As per para No.26 of the case diary, the injured has referred to Patna for better treatment. The police also recorded the statement of the injured Raj Kumar Mandal. As per the injury report of the injured Raj Kumar Mandal, the doctor has opined the nature of injury as grievous. As per para No.25 of the case diary, both the accused/ petitioners are having the clean antecedent. Though the doctor has opined the nature of injury of injured Raj Kumar Mandal as grievous, but both the accused/ petitioners are in judicial custody since 26.04.2026 and the investigation of this case is almost complete and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/ petitioners behind the bar. Keeping in mind the period of custody, clean antecedent of the accused/ petitioners and other surrounding circumstances, I find that both the accused/ petitioners are entitled to be enlarged on bail. Therefore, the bail application, filed on behalf of both accused/ petitioners namely 1. Lalo Mandal @ Lal Mandal 2. Suraj Kumar @ Suraj Kumar Mandal stands **Allowed** on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below and one of the bailor must be family member or close relative. Dictated -Sd- (Anant Singh) Sessions Judge, Supaul	Contd.