

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul

A.B.A.-496/2026

(Arising out of Triveniganj PS Case No:-71/2026)

	Pramod Jha, S/o- Babuanand Jha, R/o- Babhangama, Nagar Parishad Triveniganj, Ward No.9, PS- Triveniganj, District- Supaul; Accused/Petitioner Vs. State of Bihar.O.P.	
24/04/2026	Ld. Counsel for petitioner Sri. N.N.Thakur, Advocate. Ld. APP Sri. Amar Kumar Das, for the State. By this order we are going to dispose off the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner, namely Pramod Jha, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Triveniganj PS Case No.:–71/2026, u/s- 80(2), 238, 3(5) of BNS & 3/ 4 of D.P.Act. Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioner submitted that accused/ petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no crime and he has falsely been implicated in this case out of grudge, malice and dirty party politics. He further submitted that accused/ petitioner has not involving in any other case and having the clean antecedent. He further submitted that the offence as alleged in FIR is not applicable against the accused/ petitioner. It is also submitted that accused/ petitioner is ready to abide by the provisions of section 482 of B.N.S.S, so he may be admitted to the anticipatory bail. Ld. APP for the State strongly opposed the anticipatory bail application. In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 16.02.2026 at about 11:30 A.M., Ashish Jha, who is the husband of the deceased namely Neha Kumari and his father Pramod Jha have strangled Neha Kumari and kept the dead body in a wheat field and tried to dispose the dead body. The informant further alleged that the marriage of his daughter namely Neha Kumari was solemnized with accused Ashish Jha in the year 2021 and the informant further alleged that right from the marriage of his daughter, her husband and other family members have continuously demanding the dowry and torturing his daughter Neha Kumari. Argument heard from both sides and perused the record as well as case diary. On going through the case diary, it transpires that the police also recorded that statement of witnesses namely Suman Jha and Shankar Jha and both the witnesses have duly supported the contention of the informant. As per the postmortem report, the doctor has preserved the viscera and sent to FSL and not given any opinion regarding the cause of death of the deceased. On minute	

Contd.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul
A.B.A.-496/2026
(Arising out of Triveniganj PS Case No:-71/2026)

<u>Contd.</u> <u>24/04/2026</u>	scrutiny of the entire case diary as well as contents of the <i>Fardbyan</i>, it is apparently clear that the marriage of the deceased was solemnized in the year 2021 and she was died at her matrimonial home in unnatural circumstances. As per the statement of the father of the deceased, she was subjected to cruelty and harassment for the demand of dowry soon before her death. The accused/ petitioner is the father-in-law of the deceased and the presumption under section 117 of Bhartiya Sakshya Adhiniyam is also against the accused/ petitioner. The allegation against this accused/ petitioner is very serious in nature. The custodial interrogation of the accused/ petitioners is also required. There is strong apprehension of tempering of the prosecution evidence by the accused/ petitioner. Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioner also filed the compromise petition duly signed by the informant, but keeping in mind the seriousness of the allegation, granting the anticipatory bail on the basis of the compromise is not justified because the offence is not compoundable and a precious life has been lost. Hence, taking into consideration the seriousness of the allegation, I find that the accused/petitioner is not entitled to get the benefit of anticipatory bail. As such, the prayer of the anticipatory bail, on behalf of accused/ petitioner namely Pramod Jha is hereby, Rejected. Dictated -Sd- (Anant Singh) Sessions Judge, Supaul	
--	---	--