

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul**

**B.A.-144/2026**

**(Arising out of Nirmali PS Case No:-235/2025)**

|                        |   |  |
|------------------------|---|--|
|                        | Suresh Yadav, S/o- Baua Lal Yadav, R/o- Dudhaila, Ward No.08, PS- Nirmali, District-Supaul;<br><p style="text-align: right;">.....Accused/Petitioner</p> Vs.<br>State of Bihar <p style="text-align: right;">.....O.P.</p>  |  |
| <b><u>26/03/26</u></b> | <p>Ld. Counsel for petitioner Sri. Binod Kant Jha, Advocate.</p> <p>Ld. PP Sri. J.N.Pandey, for the State.</p> <p>By this order we are going to dispose off the bail application filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner, namely Suresh Yadav, who is in judicial custody since 16.02.2026 in connection with Nirmali P.S. Case No.235/2025, u/s- 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 351(2), 351(3), 352, 3(5) of BNS.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioner submitted that accused/ petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no crime and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics and land dispute. He further submitted that accused/ petitioner is also involved in one more case bearing Nirmali PS Case No.18/23. He further submitted that the offence as alleged in FIR is not applicable against the accused/ petitioner. It is also submitted that accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 16.02.2026 and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/ petitioner behind the bar.</p> <p>Ld. PP for the State strongly opposed the prayer of bail application.</p> <p>In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 28.12.2025 at about 12:00 noon, the informant has gone to participate in a Panchayat and the 12 accused persons named in FIR including this accused/ petitioner along with other unknown persons gathered at the agricultural land bearing Khesra No.1102 and the accused Ram Bharosh Yadav started abusing the informant and on his instigation all the accused persons started assaulting the informant by kick and fist. Accused Ram Bharosh Yadav took out the pistol and snatched cash of Rs.10,000/- from the pocket of the informant and accused Poonam Devi took out the iron-rod and handed over the same to accused Indardeo yadav and accused Indardeo Yadav also assaulted the informant on his head and chest repeatedly, thereby he sustained injury. Accused Poona Devi also assaulted Birbahadur Yadav on his left eye and accused Ram Bharosh Yadav also snatched golden chain from Birbahadur Yadav.</p> <p>Argument heard from both sides and perused the record as well as case diary. Admittedly, the accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 16.02.2026. On going through the case diary, it transpires that the police also recorded the statement of the</p> |  |

Contd.

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul**

**B.A.-144/2026**

**(Arising out of Nirmali PS Case No:-235/2025)**

**Contd...**  
**26/03/26**

witnesses namely Birbahadur Yadav, Santosh Yadav, Birendra Yadav, Lal Bahadur Yadav and Rajeshwar Yadav. Though as per the submission of Ld. counsel, this accused/ petitioner is also involved in one more case. As per the injury report of injured/ informant, the doctor has opined the nature of injury as simple caused by hard and blunt object. However, the doctor has reserved the opinion of injured Birbahadur Yadav. Though the name of this accused/ petitioner is appearing in FIR but there is no any specific allegation against this accused/ petitioner for causing injury to any injured person. Apparently there is land dispute between both the parties and a cross-case was also filed by one of the accused of this case against the informant and his family members bearing Nirmali PS Case No.238/25. It is also pertinent to mention here that in this case other accused person namely Indradeo Yadav has got the regular bail from this court vide order dated 27.01.2026 in BA No.33/26.

Keeping in mind the period of custody, nature of allegation as well as a counter-case was also filed by the accused persons against the informant and his family members. Hence, I find that accused/ petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail. Therefore, the bail application, filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner, namely Suresh Yadav stands **Allowed** on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below and one of the bailor must be family member or close relative.

Dictated  
-Sd-  
(Anant Singh)  
Sessions Judge, Supaul