

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul

B.A.-141/2026

(Arising out of Nirmali PS Case No:-199/2025)

	Umesh Mehta @ Lalan Kumar, S/o- Nebilal Mehta, R/o- Majhari, Ward No.08, PS-Nirmali, District- Supaul;Accused/Petitioner Vs. State of BiharO.P.	
<u>23/03/26</u>	<p>Ld. Counsel for petitioner Sri. Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate.</p> <p>Ld. PP Sri. J.N.Pandey, for the State.</p> <p>By this order we are going to dispose off the bail application filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner, namely Umesh Mehta @ Lalan Kumar, who is in judicial custody since 18.02.2026 in connection with Nirmali P.S. Case No.199/2025, u/s- 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioner submitted that accused/ petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no crime and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics and land dispute. He further submitted that accused/ petitioner is also involved in two other criminal cases. He further submitted that the offence as alleged in FIR is not applicable against the accused/ petitioner. He further submitted that the instant case is counter-blast of Nirmali PS case No.198/25. It is also submitted that accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 18.02.2026 and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/ petitioner behind the bar.</p> <p>Ld. PP for the State strongly opposed the prayer of bail application.</p> <p>In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 20.11.2025 at about 07:00 A.M., the son of the informant namely Lakshmi Mehta, daughter-in-law Mamta Devi and grand daughter Kajal Kumari were busy in collecting the grass near the house of Ramchandra Mehta and in the meantime 11 accused persons named in FIR including this accused/ petitioner gathered there with deadly weapon such as iron-rod, farsa and stick and started abusing the son of the informant and his daughter-in-law. When the daughter-in-law of informant namely Mamta Devi objected then accused Bindeshwari Mehta instigated the other accused persons then accused Ramesh Mehta, Ganesh Mehta and Dinesh Mehta having the farsa, iron-rod and stick assaulted Lakshmi Mehta thereby he sustained injury on his head and fell down on the ground. Accused Bindeshwari Mehta having the spade also assaulted Lakshmi Mehta. When the daughter-in-law and grand daughter of informant tried to save him, then accused Dinesh Mehta, Umesh Mehta, Amrita Devi, Vimal Devi and Rinki Devi also assaulted them and torn their clothes and left leg of injured Mamta Devi was also fractured. The accused persons also snatched the gold and silver chain from the informant and his family members. When the villagers were gathered there then all the accused persons ran away from the place of occurrence and the injured were taken to</p>	

Contd.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul

B.A.-141/2026

(Arising out of Nirmali PS Case No:-199/2025)

Contd...
23/03/26

hospital for treatment.

Argument heard from both sides and perused the record as well as case diary. Admittedly, the accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 18.02.2026. On going through the case diary, it transpires that the police also recorded the statement of the witnesses namely Mamta Devi, Rajendra Mehta and Balram Mehta and all the witnesses have duly supported the contention of the informant. As per para No.38 of the case diary, the doctor has referred the injured Lakshmi Mehta to DMCH, Darbhanga and the doctor has also found the bleeding from head. Similarly, as per the injury report of injured Rajendra Mehta and Mamta Devi, the doctor has opined the nature of injury of both injureds as simple caused by hard and blunt object. So far as the injury report of injured Lakshmi Mehta is concerned, a letter issued by the Doctor of Aditi Nursing Home & Hospital, Darbhanga, the patient Lakshmi Mehta was discharged from the hospital on 26.11.2025 in stable condition with advice. Though as per para No.42 of the case diary, this accused/ petitioner is also involved in two other criminal cases. It is also pertinent to mention here that a counter-case was also filed by one of the accused of this case namely Rinku Devi against the informant and his family members bearing Nirmali PS Case No.198/25. It is also pertinent to mention here that in this case the other accused namely Ganesh Mehta has already been granted regular bail by this court vide order dated 27.01.2026 in BA No.891/2025.

Keeping in mind the period of custody, nature of allegation as well as a counter-case was also filed by the accused persons against the informant and his family members, hence, I find that accused/ petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail. Therefore, the bail application, filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner, namely Umesh Mehta @ Lalan Kumar stands **Allowed** on furnishing bail bond of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court below and one of the bailor must be family member or close relative.

Dictated
-Sd-
(Anant Singh)
Sessions Judge, Supaul