

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul**  
**B.A.-140/2026**  
**(Arising out of Rajeshwari P.S. Case No.06/2026)**

	<p>Ritesh Das, S/o- Pankaj Das, R/o- Kamat Kishunganj, Ward No.13, PS- Rajeshwari, District- Supaul;</p> <p style="text-align: right;">.....Accused/Petitioner</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>State of Bihar</p> <p style="text-align: right;">.....O.P.</p>	
<p><b><u>18/03/26</u></b></p>	<p>Ld. Counsel for petitioner Sri. Raj Kumar Singh, Advocate.</p> <p>Ld. PP Sri. J.N.Pandey, for the State.</p> <p>By this order we are going to dispose off the bail application filed on behalf of the accused/ petitioner, namely Ritesh Das, who is in judicial custody since 13.01.2026 in connection with Rajeshwari PS Case No.:– 06/26, u/s- 103(1), 3(5) of B.N.S.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioner argued that accused/ petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no crime and he has falsely been implicated in this case due to local politics and enmity. He further submitted that accused/ petitioner is not involved in any other case and having the clean antecedent. He further submitted that the offence as alleged in FIR is not applicable against the accused/ petitioner. It is also submitted that accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 13.01.2026 and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused/ petitioner behind the bar.</p> <p>Ld. PP for the State strongly opposed the prayer of bail application.</p> <p>In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 12.01.2026 a written application was given by the informant namely Arun Das to the police of PS Rajeshwari, stating therein that the marriage of his sister was solemnized with this accused/ petitioner Ritesh Das for about 2 years ago. The couple was also blessed by a female child. The informant further alleged that this is the inter-caste marriage and due to this reason the quarrel was going on between both the parties. The informant further alleged that on 12.01.2026 at about 01:00 A.M., he received the information that his sister Rambha Kumari has died at her matrimonial home by strangulation by her family members. Thereafter, the informant has gone there and saw the dead body of his sister. The informant further alleged that accused Ritesh Das, Pankaj Das, the mother of Ritesh Das and the sister of Ritesh Das are involved in this crime. He further alleged that these accused persons are threatening his sister for the demand of dowry and also for solemnizing the inter-caste marriage.</p> <p>Argument heard from both sides and perused the record as well as case diary. Admittedly, this accused/ petitioner is in judicial custody since 13.01.2026. On going through the case diary, it transpires that the police also mentioned the death summary report in para No.2 of the case diary and also recorded the statement of the witnesses namely</p>	<p>Contd.</p>

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul**

**B.A.-140/2026**

**(Arising out of Rajeshwari P.S. Case No.06/2026)**

**Contd...**  
**18/03/26**

Pawan Das, Dhiren Das and Jendal Kumar and they have duly supported the prosecution case. On going through the postmortem report, the doctor has found the external injury;- a thin ligature mark present on throat, nearby oblique. The mark column is brownish black, width of mark about 01 cm. The mark is situated above the level of thyroid cartilage. However, the doctor has not given any opinion about the cause of death of the deceased as the viscera was sent to RFSL, Bhagalpur for chemical analysis. On minute scrutiny of the entire record as well as case diary, it transpires that this accused/ petitioner is the husband of the deceased and the deceased has died within 2 years of her marriage at her matrimonial home and she was subjected to cruelty and harassment for the demand of dowry as per the statement of the witnesses. The investigation of this case is in primary stage. The allegation against this accused/ petitioner is very serious in nature. There is strong apprehension of tempering of the prosecution evidence by the accused/ petitioner.

Hence, taking into consideration the seriousness of the allegation, I find that accused/ petitioner is not entitled to be enlarged on bail. Therefore, the bail application, filed on behalf of accused/petitioner, namely Ritesh Das stands, **Rejected.**

Dictated  
-Sd-  
(Anant Singh)  
Sessions Judge, Supaul