

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul**  
**A.B.A.-260/2026**  
**(Arising out of Chhatapur PS Case No:-07/2026)**

<b><u>06/05/2026</u></b>	<p>Sumitra Devi, W/o- Arjun Yadav, R/o- Khunti, Ward No.7, PS- Chhatapur, District-Supaul; .....Accused/Petitioner Vs. State of Bihar. ....O.P.</p> <hr/> <p>Ld. Counsel for petitioner Sri. Jawahar Jha, Advocate. Ld. APP Sri. Amar Kumar Das, for the State. Ld. counsel for informant Sri. Pawan Kumar Bharti, Advocate.</p> <p>By this order we are going to dispose off the anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of two accused/ petitioner, namely Sumitra Devi, who is apprehending her arrest in connection with Chhatapur PS Case No.:-07/2026, u/s- 87, 3(5) of B.N.S.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the accused/ petitioner argued that accused/ petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no crime and she has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. He further submitted that accused/ petitioner has not involved in any other case and having the clean antecedent. He further submitted that the offence as alleged in FIR is not applicable against the accused/ petitioner. It is also submitted that accused/ petitioner is ready to abide by the provisions of section 482 of B.N.S.S, so she may be admitted to the anticipatory bail.</p> <p>Ld. APP for the State strongly opposed the anticipatory bail application.</p> <p>In brief, the prosecution story is that on dated 28.12.2025, a written application was given by the informant namely Rajesh Yadav to the police of PS Chhatapur, stating therein that his wife, aged about 28 years (name has not been disclosed here), has left the house for purchasing some medicines, but she has not returned. Thereafter, the informant started searching his wife and during the course of said search, it was came to the knowledge of the informant that Sunil Kumar Yadav has taken his wife. It was also came to the knowledge of the informant that from his house cash of Rs.50,000/- and some silver and gold jewelries are also missing. Thereafter, the informant came at the house of accused Sunil Kumar Yadav, but he was not present there and his mother namely Sumitra Devi misbehaved and abused the informant.</p> <p>Argument heard from both sides and perused the record as well as case diary. On perusal of the case diary, it transpires that the police has also recorded the statement of witnesses namely Sanjay Kumar, Vidyanand Yadav and Chandani Devi and they have duly supported the prosecution case. The victim was also recovered and her statement was recorded by the police as well as her statement was also recorded by the Ld. Magistrate u/s- 183 of BNSS, but in her statement she has not made any</p>	Contd.
--------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Supaul**  
**A.B.A.-260/2026**  
**(Arising out of Chhatapur PS Case No:-07/2026)**

**Contd.**  
**06/05/2026**

allegation against any accused person and she has specifically stated that she left the house as per her own volition and started residing at Supaul in a rented accommodation and she is not willing to go to her matrimonial home. Since, the victim has not made any allegation against this accused/ petitioner and the victim is major. Therefore, in the interest of substantial justice, I find that the accused/ petitioner is entitled to get the benefit of anticipatory bail. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail of accused/ petitioner stands **Allowed** and in the event of her arrest or surrender before the court within 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, petitioner is directed to be released on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned Court below with condition that she shall abide by all the conditions laid down in section 482 of B.N.S.S and one of the bailor must be the family member or close relative.

Dictated  
-Sd-  
(Anant Singh)  
Sessions Judge, Supaul